VOLUME 25 INDEX

	Page
Table of Authors	1090
Table of Student Writers	1091
INDEX OF SUBJECTS	1093
Table of Cases Discussed	1103
TABLE OF STATUTES	1112

TABLE OF AUTHORS

Authors of Articles and Essays are listed alphabetically.

DOYLE, Mary	MOONEY, Carol Ann
The Transportation Provision of Arizona's 1980 Groundwater Management Act: A Proposed Definition of Compensable Injury	Discretionary Trusts: An Estate Plan to Supplement Public Assistance for Disabled Persons
HAWLEY, Michael S.	ODDI, A. Samuel
I.R.C. Section 274(b) and Duberstein Resurface: The Emperor Still Has No Clothes	Product Simulation and Contributory Trademark Infringement: A Right Suggests a Wrong
HENDERSON, Roger C.	PRENTICE, Robert A.
A Tribute to Student-Edited Law Reviews	"Supreme Court Rhetoric" 25:85
KENNEDY, John E.	ROBISON, Thornion E.
Class Options: The Right to Opt Out . 25:3	The Confidence Game: An Approach to the Law About Trade Secrets 25:347
LEE, Rex E.	ROTH, Loren H.
Preserving Separation of Powers: A Rejection of Judicial Legislation Through the Fundamental Rights Doctrine	Toward an Informed Discussion of Informed Consent: A Review and Critique of the Empirical Studies 25:265
LORIO, Kathryn V.	SCHWARTZ, William
What We Know, What We Think We Know, and What We Don't Know About Women Law Professors 25:869	Whose Wealth is it Anyway? Impediments to the Realization of an Owner's Plan of Disposition
MARCUS, Paul	WILLIS, Steven J.
The Reporter's Privilege: An Analysis	
of the Common Law, Branzburg v. Hayes, and Recent Statutory Developments	I.R.C. Section 274(b) and Duberstein Resurface: The Emperor Still Has No Clothes
MEISEL, Alan	ZENOFF, Elyce H.
Toward an Informed Discussion of Informed Consent: A Review and Critique of the Empirical Studies 25:265	What We Know, What We Think We Know, and What We Don't Know About Women Law Professors 25:869

TABLE OF STUDENT WRITERS

Student writers are listed alphabetically.

AKMAJIAN, Peter	CHRISTENSEN, Tom
Group Insurance Brochures and Certifi- cates and the Demise of the Master	The Apportionment of Punitive Damages Among Joint Tortfeasors 25:580
Policy	GRAY, David K. Limitations on the Invitee's Preferred
Review	Status: An Analysis of Nicoletti v. Westcor
BAINTON, Denise M.	Protecting the Mortgagee's Right to En-
State Regulation of Private Religious Schools and the State's Interest in Education	force a Time-is-of-the-Essence Provi- sion: An Analysis of First Federal Savings and Loan Association of Phoe- nix v. Ram
BERENS, Leesa E.	
Scope of Employment: An Employer's Liability to Persons Injured by Employees Who Are Remunerated for Travel	"Prospective Search Warrants" in Arizona: The Reasonableness of a Search Warrant Based on Evidence of a Probable Future Crime 25:539
BERGER, Steven N.	HERR-CARDILLO, Joy E.
Access for CATV Meets the Taking Clause: The Per Se Takings Rule of Loretto v. Teleprompter Manhattan CATV Corp	Defective Newborns and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act: Legislation by Administrative Fiat? 25:709 Double Jeopardy and Consecutive Sen-
BERKHEISER, Mary E.	tencing: What Does It Mean in Ari-
Medical Dependency in Arizona: The	zona? 25:202
"Known Medical Danger" Standard of In re Cochise County Juvenile Ac- tion No. 5666-J	HUGHES, Russell E. Fernandez v. Romo: Has Interspousal Tort Immunity Been a bolished in
BRENT, Heidi Rib	Arizona?
Ronwin v. Shapiro: Federal Jurisdiction and the Eleventh Amendment Defense	HULL, W. Gary I.R.C. Section 162(a)(1) and the Professional Corporation: Compensation Versus Dividends
BRESSLER, Stephen M.	Versus Dividends 24:411
The Warning Claim in an Arizona	KIMBLE, Philip
Products Liability Action: Limitations on Duty to Warn 25:395	Applying a Change in the Statutory Rate of Interest to Preexisting Judg- ments in Arizona
BRYNWOOD-KITCHEN, Laura	
The Constitutionality of Interviewing Children Privately in Chambers: Must Parents be Afforded an Oppor- tunity to Cross-Examine?	LOFY, Thomas R. Unvert and the Erroneous Deduction Exception to the Tax Benefit Rule 25:230 Implied Covenants of Continuous Op-
	eration in Commercial Lease Settings 25:792
CALKINS, Janet L.	MAHAJAN, Sharmila
The Subjective Doubt Requirement for Reckless Disregard: Misapplication of the Actual Malice Standard in Hansen v. Stoll	Standard of Proof in Cases of Dependency and Termination of Parental Rights in Arizona
CAMPBELL, Dana Mark	MALONE, Phillip R.
Antitrust Analysis in Professional Sports Management Cases: The Pub-	Propriety of Inquiries into the Numerical Division of a Jury
lic Cries "Foul!"	OLSSON, Eric
Tahtinen v. Superior Court: Arizona's Civil Courts Remain Closed to the	Privately Owned Parking Areas in Arizona Need Better Protection from

ARIZONA LAW REVIEW

Amend the Statutory Garageman's	SPECTOR, Brian Neil
Lien 25:1023	Compulsory Process and the Scope of the Government's Duty to Aid in the
RYAN, Anne M.	Availability of Defense Witnesses 25:192
Confusion in the Land of Indian Sover- eignty: The Supreme Court Takes a Detour	Extraordinary Circumstances Justifying Relief from Judgment Under Rule 60(c)(6)
Tribal Taxation of Mineral Resource	SKOLNIK, Bruce Lee
Development: An Analysis of Merrien v. Jicarilla Apache Tribe 25:220	Scope of the Jurisdiction Exception to the Doctrine of Exhaustion in Ari- zona's Administrative Review Act:
SEWELL, Ellen M.W.	A.R.S. Section 12-902(B) 25:735
The American Indian Religious Freedom Act	WARDELL, Mary Jean Section 1983—A Change in the Meaning of "Under Color of Law": Polk
SHERMAN, Lucile D.	County v. Dodson
Government Tort Immunity Revisited: Ryan v. State	WHEELER, Ellen K. The Right to Use Grandwater in Arizona After Chino Valley II and Cher-
SMITH, E. Hardy	ry v. Steiner
Facilitation: Should it be Regarded as a Lesser Included Offense When Prose- cution is Based on the Defendant's Complicity?	WIESE, Kurt Eminent Domain: Admissibility of Planned Uses for Condemnation Val- uation

INDEX OF SUBJECTS

All items published in volume 25 are indexed under appropriate subject headings. Articles are indicated by the author's name following the title. Student work is indicated by title only.

ACCOUNTING	ARIZONA RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE
I.R.C. Section 162(a)(1) and the Professional Corporation: Compensation Versus Dividends	Extraordinary Circumstances Justifying Relief from Judgment Under Rule 60(c)(6)
ACTIONS AND DEFENSES	ARIZONA RULES OF CRIMINAL PROCEDURE
Fernandez v. Romo: Has Interspousal Tort Immunity Been Abolished in Arizona?	Facilitation: Should it be Regarded as a Lesser Included Offense When Prose- cution is Based on the Defendant's Complicity?
ADMINISTRATION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE Propriety of Inquiries into the Numerical Division of a Jury	Counsel Misconduct in Arizona: A New Factor to Consider on Appellate Review
ADMINISTRATIVE AGENCIES Scope of the Jurisdiction Exception to teh Doctrine of Exhaustion in Ari- zona's Administrative Review Act: A.R.S. Section 12-902(B)	Privately Owned Parking Areas in Arizona Need Better Protection from Unauthorized Vehicles; A Proposal to Amend the Statutory Garageman's Lien
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW	BREACH OF CONTRACT
Scope of the Jurisdiction Exception to the Doctrine of Exhaustion in Ari- zona's Administrative Review Act:	Implied Covenants of Continuous Op- eration in Commercial Lease Settings 25:792
A.R.S. Section 12-902(B) 25:735	BURDEN OF PROOF
ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURE Scope of the Jurisdiction Exception to	Standard of Proof in Cases of Dependency and Termination of Parental Rights in Arizona
the Doctrine of Exhaustion in Arizona's Administrative Review Act: A.R.S. Section 12-902(B)	CHILD CUSTODY Medical Dependency in Arizona: The
ANTITRUST LAW	"Known Medical Danger" Standard of In re Cochise County Juvenile Ac-
Antitrust Analysis in Professional Sports Management Cases: The Pub-	tion No. 5666-J
lic Cries "Foul!"	CHILDREN
APPELLATE PROCEDURE	Defective Newborns and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act: Legislation
Counsel Misconduct in Arizona: A New Factor to Consider on Appellate Review	by Administrative Fiat?
APPORTIONMENT	of In re Cochise County Juvenile Action No. 5666-J
The Apportionment of Punitive Damages Among Joint Tortfeasors 25:581	CHURCH AND STATE
	The American Indian Religious Free-
ARIZONA	dom Act
The Apportionment of Punitive Damages Among Joint Tortfeasors 25:581 Fernandez v. Romo: Has Interspousal	State Regulation of Private Religious Schools and the State's Interest in Ed- ucation
Tort Immunity Been Abolished in Arizona?	CIVIL PROCEDURE
Standard of Proof in Cases of Dependency and Termination of Parental Rights in Arizona	Counsel Misconduct in Arizona: A New Factor to Consider on Appellate Review

Extraordinary Circumstances Justifying	Compulsory Process and the Scope of
Relief from Judgment under Rule	the Government's Duty to Aid in the
60(c)(6)	Availability of Defense Witnesses 25:192
Tahtinen v. Superior Court: Arizona's	The Constitutionality of Interviewing
Civil Courts Remain Closed to the	Children Privately in Chambers:
Indigent	Must Parents be Afforded an Opportunity to Cross-Examine?
CIVIL RIGHTS	Defective Newborns and Section 504 of
Defective Newborns and Section 504 of	the Rehabilitation Act: Legislation
the Rehabilitation Act: Legislation	by Administrative Fiat? 25:709
by Administrative Fiat? 25:709	Double Jeopardy and Consecutive Sen-
Section 1983—A Change in the Mean-	tencing: Is it an Issue Worth Raising
ing of "Under Color of Law": Polk	in Arizona?
County v. Dodson	Propriety of Inquiries into the Numeri-
Tahtinen v. Superior Court: Arizona's	cal Division of a Jury
Civil Courts Remain Closed to the	"Prospective Search Warrants" in Ari-
Indigent	zona: The Reasonableness of a
CLASS ACTIONS	Search Warrant Based on Evidence
CLASS ACTIONS	of a Probable Future Crime 25:539
Class Actions: The Right to Opt Out . 25:3	The Right to Use Groundwater in Ari-
COLLEGES AND UNIVERSITIES	zona after Chino Valley II and Cherry v. Steiner
Ronwin v. Shapiro: Federal Jurisdiction	Ronwin v. Shapiro: Federal Jurisdiction
and the Eleventh Amendment De-	and the Eleventh Amendment De-
fense	fense
	Section 1983-A Change in the Mean-
COMMUNICATIONS	ing of "Under Color of Law": Polk
Access for CATV Meets the Taking	County v. Dodson
Clause: The Per Se Takings Rule of	Standard of Proof in Cases of Depen-
Loretto v. Teleprompter Manhattan	dency and Termination of Parental
CATV Corp	Rights in Arizona 25:561
COMMUNITY PROPERTY	State Regulation of Private Religious
	Schools and the State's Interest in Education
Fernandez v. Romo: Has Interspousal	Tahtinen v. Superior Court: Arizona's
Tort Immunity Been Abolished in	Civil Courts Remain Closed to the
Arizona? 25:591	Indigent
COMPENSATION	
I.R.C. Section 162(a)(1) and the Profes-	CONSUMER PROTECTION
	The Warning Claim in an Arizona
sional Corporation: Compensation Versus Dividends	Products Liability Action: Limita-
	tions on Duty to Warn 25:395
COMPULSORY PROCESS	
Compulsory Process and the Scope of	CONTRACTS
the Government's Duty to Aid in the	Group Insurance Brochures and Certifi-
Availability of Defense Witnesses 25:192	cates and the Demise of the Master
CONFIDENTIAL SOURCES	Policy
The Reporters Privilege: An Analysis	Implied Covenants of Continuous Op-
of the Common Law, Branzburg v.	eration in Commercial Lease Settings 25:792
Hayes, and Recent Statutory Devel-	Privately Owned Parking Areas in Ari-
opments	zona Need Better Protection from
	Unauthorized Vehicles; A Proposal to
CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENTS	Amend the Statutory Garageman's
Ronwin v. Shapiro: Federal Jurisdiction	Protesting the Mostange's Right to En
and the Eleventh Amendment De-	Protecting the Mortgagee's Right to En- force a Time-is-of-the-Essence Provi-
fense	sion: An Analysis of First Federal
CONSTITUTIONAL LAW	Savings and Loan Association of Phoe-
	nix v. Ram
Access for CATV Meets the Taking Clause: The Per Se Takings Rule of	
Loretto v. Teleprompter Manhattan	CONTRIBUTORY NEGLIGENCE
CATV Corp 25:689	Fernandez v. Romo: Has Interspousal
The American Indian Religious Free-	Tort Immunity Been Abolished in
dom Act	Arizona? 25:591

CONTRIBUTORY TRADEMARK INFRINGEMENT	Fernandez v. Romo: Has Interspousal Tort Immunity Been Abolished in
Product Simulation and Contributory Trademark Infringement: A Right Suggests a Wrong	Arizona?
COPYRIGHT The Confidence Game: An Approach	Unauthorized Vehicles; A Proposal to Amend the Statutory Garageman's Lien
to the Law About Trade Secrets 25:347 Product Simulation and Contributory Trademark Infringement: A Right Suggests a Wrong	DEEDS OF TRUST Protecting the Mortgagee's Right to En-
CORPORATIONS I.R.C. Section 162(a)(1) and the Profes-	force a Time-is-of-the-Essence Provi- sion: An Analysis of First Federal Savings and Loan Association of Phoe-
sional Corporation: Compensation Versus Dividends	nix v. Ram
COUNSEL MISCONDUCT Counsel Misconduct in Arizona: A New Factor to Consider on Appellate	The Subjective Doubt Requirement for Reckless Disregard: Misapplication of the Actual Malice Standard in Hansen v. Stoll
Review	
COURTS	DEFAULT JUDGMENTS
Supreme Court Rhetoric 25:85 Tahtinen v. Superior Court: Arizona's Civil Courts Remain Closed to the	Extraordinary Circumstances Justifying Relief from Judgment Under Rule 60(c)(6)
Indigent	DEFENSES
COVENANTS Implied Covenants of Continuous Operation in Commercial Lease Settings 25:792	Ronwin v. Shapiro: Federal Jurisdiction and the Eleventh Amendment De- fense
CREDITORS REMEDIES	DISCLOSURE OF SOURCES
Applying a Change in the Statutory Rate of Interest to Preexisting Judg- ments in Arizona	The Reporter's Privilege: An Analysis of the Common Law, <i>Branzburg v. Hayes</i> , and Recent Statutory Devel-
CRIMINAL LAW	opments
Facilitation: Should it be Regarded as a Lesser Included Offense When Prose-	DISCRIMINATION Defective Newborns and Section 504 of
cution is Based on the Defendant's Complicity?	the Rehabilitation Act: Legislation by Administrative Fiat?
cal Division of a Jury 25:525	Tahtinen v. Superior Court: Arizona's Civil Courts Remain Closed to the
CRIMINAL PROCEDURE	Indigent 25:177
Compulsory Process and the Scope of the Government's Duty to Aid in the	DIVIDENDS
Availability of Defense Witnesses 25:192 Double Jeopardy and Consecutive Sentencing: What Does It Mean in Ari-	I.R.C. Section 162(a)(1) and the Professional Corporation: Compensation Versus Dividends
zona?	DOMESTIC RELATIONS
Facilitation: Should it be Regarded as a Lesser Included Offense When Proseuction is Based on the Defend- ant's Complicity?	The Constitutionality of Interviewing Children Privately in Chambers: Must Parents be Afforded an Oppor-
Propriety of Inquiries into the Numeri- cal Division of a Jury	tunity to Cross-Examine?
Search Warrant Based on Evidence of a Probable Future Crime 25:539	tion No. 5666-J
	DOUBLE JEOPARDY
DAMAGES The Apportionment of Punitive Damages Among Joint Tortfeasors 25:581	Double Jeopardy and Consecutive Sentencing: What Does It Mean in Arizona?

DRUGS	ENTERTAINMENT LAW
The American Indian Religious Free-	Antitrust Analysis in Professional
dom Act	Sports Management Cases: The Public Cries "Foul!"
DUE PROCESS	ENVIRONMENTAL LAW
The Constitutionality of Interviewing Children Privately in Chambers: Must Parents be Afforded an Opportunity to Cross-Examine?	The Transportation Provisions of Arizona's 1980 Groundwater Management Act: A Proposed Definition of Compensable Injury
Rights in Arizona	EQUAL PROTECTION
	Tahtinen v. Superior Court: Arizona's
DUE PROCESS OF LAW Tahtinen v. Superior Court: Arizona's Civil Courts Remain Closed to the	Civil Courts Remain Closed to the Indigent
Indigent	ESTATE PLANNING
EASEMENTS Access for CATV Meets the Taking Clause: The Per Se Takings Rule of	Whose Wealth is it Anyway? Impediments to the Realization of an Owner's Plan of Disposition
Loretto v. Teleprompter Manhattan	ESTATE AND GIFT TAX
ECONOMICS 25:689	Whose Wealth is it Anyway? Impediments to the Realization of an Owner's Plan of Disposition
Antitrust Analysis in Professional Sports Management Cases: The Pub-	ESTATES AND TRUSTS
lic Cries "Foul!"	Whose Wealth is it Anyway? Impediments to the Realization of an Own-
EDUCATION	er's Plan of Disposition 25:671
State Regulation of Private Religious Schools and the State's Interest in Ed-	ESTOPPEL
ucation 25:123 What We Know, What We Think We Know, And What We Don't Know About Women Law Professors 25:869	Group Insurance Brochures and Certificates and the Demise of the Master Policy
	EVIDENCE
EMINENT DOMAIN Access for CATV Meets the Taking Clause: The Per Se Takings Rule of	Eminent Domain: Admissibility of Planned Uses for Condemnation Valuation
Loretto v. Teleprompter Manhattan CATV Corp	EXCLUSIONARY RULE
CATV Corp	"Prospective Search Warrants" in Arizona: The Reasonableness of a Search Warrant Based on Evidence of a Probable Future Crime 25:539
The Confidence Game: An Approach	FAMILY COURTS
to the Law About Trade Secrets 25:347 Limitations on the Invitee's Preferred Status: An Analysis of Nicoletti v. Westcor	The Constitutionality of Interviewing Children Privately in Chambers: Must Parents be Afforded an Oppor- tunity to Cross-Examine? 25:551
I.R.C. Section 274(b) and Duberstein Resurface: The Emperor Still has No	FAMILY LAW
Clothes	The Constitutionality of Interviewing Children Privately in Chambers: Must Parents be Afforded an Opportunity to Cross-Examine?
EMPLOYER'S LIABILITY	Tort Immunity Been Abolished in Arizona?
Scope of Employment: An Employer's Liability to Persons Injured by Employees Who Are Remunerated for Travel	One More Mouth to Feed: A Look at Physicians' Liability for the Negligent Performance of Sterilization Operations

FOLUME	INDEA
Standard of Proof in Cases of Dependency and Termination of Parental Rights in Arizona	GROUP INSURANCE Group Insurance Brochures and Certificates and the Demise of the Master
FEDERAL LAND MANAGEMENT	Policy
The American Indian Religious Free-	HEALTH
dom Act	Medical Dependency in Arizona: The "Known Medical Danger" Standard of In re Cochise County Juvenile Action No. 56664.
and the Eleventh Amendment De- fense	tion No. 5666-J
	The Subjective Doubt Requirement for
FIRST AMENDMENT The Reporter's Privilege: An Analysis of the Common Law, <i>Branzburg v.</i> Haves, and Recent Statutory Devel-	Reckless Disregard: Misapplication of the Actual Malice Standard in Hansen v. Stoll
Hayes, and Recent Statutory Developments	Fernandez v. Romo: Has Interspousal Tort Immunity Been Abolished in Arizona?
FORECLOSURE	Ronwin v. Shapiro: Federal Jurisdiction
Protecting the Mortgagee's Right to En- force a Time-is-of-the-Essence Provi- sion: An Analysis of First Federal	and the Eleventh Amendment Defense
Savings and Loan Association of Phoenix v. Ram	Section 1983—A Change in the Meaning of "Under Color of Law" Polk County v. Dodson
FORFEITURE	IMPOUNDMENT
Protecting the Mortgagee's Right to Enforce a Time-is-of-the-Essence Provision: An Analysis of First Federal Savings and Loan Association of Phoenix v. Ram	Privately Owned Parking Areas in Arizona Need Better Protection from Unauthorized Vehicles; A Proposal to Amend the Statutory Garageman's Lien
FREEDOM OF INFORMATION	IMPUTED CONTRIBUTORY NEGLIGENCE
The Confidence Game: An Approach to the Law About Trade Secrets 25:347	Fernandez v. Romo: Has Interspousal Tort Immunity Been Abolished in
The Peropter's Privilege: An Applysic	Arizona? 25:591
The Reporter's Privilege: An Analysis of the Common Law, <i>Branzburg</i> v.	INCOME TAX
Hayes, and Recent Statutory Developments	I.R.C. Section 274(b) and Duberstein Resurface: The Emperor Still has No Clothes
FREEDOM OF RELIGION	
The American Indian Religious Freedom Act	INCOME TAX—INDIVIDUAL—UNITED STATES
GARNISHMENT	Unvert and the Erroneous Deduction Exception to the Tax Benefit Rule 25:230
Extraordinary Circumstances Justifying Relief from Judgment Under Rule 60(c)(6)	INDIAN LAW The American Indian Religious Freedom Act
GIFTS	dom Act
I.R.C. Section 274(b) and Duberstein Resurface: The Emperor Still has No Clothes	INDIANS The American Indian Religious Freedom Act
GOVERNMENTAL IMMUNITY AND	Confusion in the Land of Indian Sover- eignty: The Supreme Court Takes a
Government Tort Immunity Revised: Ryan v. State	Detour
GROUNDWATER MANAGEMENT	rion v. Jicarilla Apache Tribe 25:220
The Transportation Provisions of Ari-	INDIANS OF NORTH AMERICA
zona's 1980 Groundwater Manage- ment Act: A Proposed Definition of Compensable Injury	Confusion in the Land of Indian Sover- eignty: The Supreme Court Takes a Detour

INDIAN LAW	JUDGMENTS
Confusion in the Land of Indian Sover- eignty: The Supreme Court Takes a Detour	Applying a Change in the Statutory Rate of Interest to Preexisting Judgments in Arizona
Tribal Taxation of Mineral Resource Development: An Analysis of Mer-	JUDICIAL REVIEW
rion v. Jicarilla Apache Tribe 25:220 INDIAN SOVEREIGNTY Confusion in the Land of Indian Sovereignty: The Supreme Court Takes a	Scope of the Jurisdiction Exception to the Doctrine of Exhaustion in Arizona's Administrative Review Act: A.R.S. Section 12-902(B)
Detour	JURIES
Tribal Taxation of Mineral Resource Development: An Analysis of Mer- rion v. Jicarilla Apache Tribe	Propriety of Inquiries into the Numerical Division of a Jury
INCOME TAX-DEDUCTIONS-UNITED	JURISDICTION
STATES Unvert and the Erroneous Deduction	Ronwin v. Shapiro: Federal Jurisdiction and the Eleventh Amendment De-
Exception to the Tax Benefit Rule 25:230	Scope of the Jurisdiction Exception to the Doctrine of Exhaustion in Ari-
Defective Newborns and Section 504 of	zona's Administrative Review Act: A.R.S. Section 12-902(B)
the Rehabilitation Act: Legislation by Administrative Fiat? 25:709	JURISPRUDENCE
INFORMED CONSENT	"Supreme Court Rhetoric" 25:85
Toward An Informed Discussion of In- formed Consent: A Review and Cri-	LEGAL EDUCATION
tique of the Empirical Studies 24:265	What We Know, What We Think We Know, And What We Don't Know
INSURANCE	About Women Law Professors 25:869
Group Insurance Brochures and Certifi- cates and the Demise of the Master	LEGAL ETHICS
Policy	Section 1983—A Change in the Mean- ing of "Under Color of Law": Polk
INTEREST	County v. Dodson
Applying a Change in the Statutory Rate of Interest to Preexisting Judgments in Arizona	LIABILITY Fernandez v. Romo: Has Interpousal
INTERSPOUSAL TORT IMMUNITY	Tort Immunity Been Abolished in Arizona?
Fernandez v. Romo: Has Interspousal Tort Immunity Been Abolished in	LIBEL & SLANDER
Arizona?	The Subjective Doubt Requirement for
INVITEES AND LICENSEES	Reckless Disregard: Misapplication of the Actual Malice Standard in
Limitations on the Invitee's Preferred Status: An Analysis of Nicoletti v.	Hansen v. Stoll
Westcor	LIENS
JOINT & SEVERAL LIABILITY	Privately Owned Parking Areas in Ari-
The Apportionment of Punitive Damages Among Joint Tortfeasors 25:581	zona Need Better Protection from Unauthorized Vehicles; A Proposal to Amend the Statutory Garageman's
JOINT TORTFEASORS	Lien 25:815
The Apportionment of Punitive Damages Among Joint Tortfeasors 25:581	MALICE ("ACTUAL")
JOURNALIST'S PREVILEGE	The Subjective Doubt Requirement for Reckless Disregard: Missepplication
The Reporter's Privilege: An Analysis of the Common Law, Branzburg v.	of the Actual Malice Standard in Hansen v. Stoll
Hayer, and Recent Statutory Developments	MALPRACTICE
	Toward an Informed Discussion of In-
JUDGES "Supreme Court Rhetoric" 25:85	formed Consent: A Review and Critique of the Empirical Studies 24:265

MANDATORY CLASS ACTIONS	NEGLIGENCE
Class Actions: The Right to Opt Out . 25:3	Fernandez v. Romo: Has Interspousal
MASTER AND SERVANT	Tort Immunity Been Abolished in Arizona?
Scope of Employment: An Employer's	Limitations on the Invitee's Preferred
Liability to Persons Injured by Em- ployees Who Are Remunerated for	Status: An Analysis of Nicoletti v.
Travel	Westcor
	Products Liability Action: Limita-
MEDIA PRIVILEGE	tions on Duty to Warn 25:395
The Reporter's Privilege: An Analysis of the Common Law, Branzburg v.	NEWSMEN'S PRIVILEGE
Haves, and Recent Statutory Devel-	The Reporter's Privilege: An Analysis
opments	of the Common Law, Branzburg v.
MEDICAL MALPRACTICE	Hayes, and Recent Statutory Devel-
One More Mouth to Feed: A Look at	opments
Physicians' Liability for the Negligent	NOTICE
Performance of Sterilization Opera-	Protecting the Mortgagee's Right to En-
tions	force a Time-is-of-the-Essence Provi-
formed Consent: A Review and Cri-	sion: An Analysis of First Federal Savings and Loan Association of Phoe-
tique of the Empirical Studies 24:265	nix v. Ram
MEDICAL JURISPRUDENCE	
Defective Newborns and Section 504 of	OFFENSIVE COLLATERAL ESTOPPEL
the Rehabilitation Act: Legislation	Class Actions: The Right to Opt Out . 25:3
by Administrative Fiat? 25:709 Medical Dependency in Arizona: The	PARENT & CHILD
"Known Medical Danger" Standard	The Constitutionality of Interviewing
of In re Cochise County Juvenile Ac-	Children Privately in Chambers: Must Parents be Afforded an Oppor-
tion No. 5666-J	tunity to Cross-Examine? 25:551
Toward An Informed Discussion of In- formed Consent: A Review and Cri-	Defective Newborns and Section 504 of
tique of the Empirical Studies 24:265	the Rehabilitation Act: Legislation
the state of the s	by Administrative Fiat?
MEDICAL PROFESSION Toward An Informed Dicsussion of In-	"Known Medical Danger" Standard
formed Consent: A Review and Cri-	of In re Cochise County Juvenile Ac-
tique of the Empirical Studies 24:265	tion No. 5666-J
MINES AND MINERALS	dency and Termination of Parental
Tribal Taxation of Mineral Resource	Rights in Arizona 25:561
Development: An Analysis of Mer-	PARKING
rion v. Jicarilla Apache Tribe 25:220	Privately Owned Parking Areas in Ari-
MONOPOLIES	zona Need Better Protection from
Antitrust Analysis in Professional	Unauthorized Vehicles; A Proposal to
Sports Management Cases: The Pub-	Amend the Statutory Garageman's Lien
lic Cries "Foul!"	
MORTGAGES	PATENT INFRINGEMENT
Protecting the Mortgagee's Right to En-	Product Simulation and Contributory Trademark Infringement: A Right
force a Time-is-of-the-Essence Provision: An Analysis of First Federal	Suggests a Wrong
Savings and Loan Association of Phoe-	
nix v. Ram	PATENTS The Confidence Game: An Approach
NATIVE LAW	The Confidence Game: An Approach to the Law About Trade Secrets 25:347
The American Indian Religious Free-	
dom Act	PERMISSIVE CLASS ACTIONS
NATURAL RESOURCE MANAGEMENT	Class Actions: The Right to Opt Out . 25:3
The Transportation Provisions of Ari-	PERSONAL INJURY
zona's 1980 Groundwater Manage-	Limitations on the Invitee's Preferred
ment Act: A Proposed Definition of	Status: An Analysis of Nicoletti v. Westcor
Compensable Injury 25:655	Westcor 25:244

PHYSICIANS AND SURGEONS	Privately Owned Parking Areas in Ari-
Defective Newborns and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act: Legislation	zona Need Better Protection from Unauthorized Vehicles; A Proposal to
by Administrative Fiat? 25:709	Amend the Statutory Garageman's Lien
Toward An Informed Discussion of In- formed Consent: A Review and Cri-	Protecting the Mortgagee's Right to En-
tique of the Empirical Studies 24:265	force a Time-is-of-the-Essence Provi-
POLICE POWER	sion: An Analysis of First Federal Savings and Loan Association of Phoe-
The Right to Use Groundwater in Ari-	nix v. Ram
zona after Chino Valley II and Cherry	PROTECTION OF INFORMATION
v. Steiner	The Confidence Game: An Approach
PREEMPTION	to the Law About Trade Secrets 25:347
Confusion in the Land of Indian Sover-	BURLIO DEFENDENC
eignty: The Supreme Court Takes a Detour	PUBLIC DEFENDERS
	Section 1983—A Change in the Meaning of "Under Color of Law": Polk
PRIVILEGED COMMUNICATIONS	County v. Dodson
The Reporter's Privilege: An Analysis of the Common Law, Branzburg v.	BUBLIC LAND MANAGEMENT
Hayes, and Recent Statutory Devel-	PUBLIC LAND MANAGEMENT
opments	The American Indian Religious Freedom Act
PRIVILEGED SOURCES	
The Reporter's Privilege: An Analysis	PUNITIVE DAMAGES
of the Common Law, Branzburg v.	The Apportionment of Punitive Damages Among Joint Tortfeasors 25:579
Hayes, and Recent Statutory Developments	ages rationg south fortheasons 25.577
	QUALIFIED PLAN AWARDS
PRIVILEGES The Subjective Doubt Beautypers for	I.R.C. Section 274(b) and Duberstein
The Subjective Doubt Requirement for Reckless Disregard: Misapplication	Resurface: The Emperor Still has No Clothes
of the Actual Malice Standard in	
Hansen v. Stoll 25:211	RADIO & TELEVISION
PROBABLE CAUSE	Access for CATV Meets the Taking Clause: The Per Se Takings Rule of
"Prospective Search Warrants" in Ari-	Loretto v. Teleprompter Manhattan
zona: the Reasonableness of a Search Warrant Based on Evidence of a	CATV Corp
Probable Future Crime 25:539	REAL ESTATE
PROBATE	Protecting the Mortgagee's Right to En-
Whose Wealth is it Anyway? Impedi-	force a Time-is-of-the-Essence Provi-
ments to the Realization of an Own-	sion: An Analysis of First Federal Savings and Loan Association of Phoe-
er's Plan of Disposition 25:671	nix v. Ram
POLICE	DEAL PROPERTY
"Prospective Search Warrants" in Ari-	REAL PROPERTY
zona: The Reasonableness of a Search Warrant Based on Evidence	Access for CATV Meets the Taking Clause: The Per Se Takings Rule of
of a Probable Future Crime 25:539	Loretto v. Teleprompter Manhattan
	Implied Covenants of Continuous Op-
PRODUCTS LIABILITY Class Actions: The Right to Opt Out . 25:3	eration in Commercial Lease Settings 25:792
The Warning Claim in an Arizona	Protecting the Mortgagee's Right to En-
Products Liability Action: Limita-	force a Time-is-of-the-Essence Provision: An Analysis of First Federal
tions on Duty to Warn 25:395	Savings and Loan Association of Phoe-
PROPERTY	nix v. Ram
Access for CATV Meets the Taking	RECKLESS DISREGARD
Clause: The Per Se Takings Rule of Loretto v. Teleprompter Manhattan	The Subjective Doubt Requirement for
CATV Corp 25:689	Reckless Disregard: Misapplication
Implied Covenants of Continuous Op- eration in Commercial Lease Settings 25:792	of the Actual Malice Standard in
Translating Commercial Lease Settings 23:192	Hansen v. Stoll

RESTRAINT OF TRADE The Confidence Game: An Approach to the Law About Trade Secrets 25:347 RESTRICTIVE COVENANTS The Confidence Game: An Approach to the Law About Trade Secrets 25:347 SCHOOLS AND COLLEGES State Regulation of Private Religious Schools and the State's Interest in Education 25:123 SEARC; AND SEIZURE "Prospective Search Warrants" in Arizona: The Reasonableness of a Search Warrant Based on Evidence of a Probable Future Crime 25:539 SENTENCING Double Jeopardy and Consecutive Sentencing: What Does It Mean in Arizona? 25:202 SHIELD LAWS The Reporter's Privilege: An Analysis of the Common Law, Branzburg v.	RELIEF FROM JUDGMENT	SIXTH AMENDMENT
The American Indian Religious Freedom Act 25:429 Medical Dependency in Arizona: The "Known Medical Danger" Standard of In re Cochise County Juvenile Action No. 5666-J 25:769 State Regulation of Private Religious Schools and the State's Interest in Education 57:769 State Regulation of Private Religious Schools and the State's Interest in Education 57:769 REMEDIES Applying a Change in the Statutory Rate of Interest to Preexisting Judgments in Arizona 25:515 The Apportionment of Punitive Damages Among Joint Tortfeasors 25:595 Fernandes v. Romo: Has Interpousal Tort Immunity Been Abolished in Arizona" 25:510 One More Mouth to Feed: A Look at Physicians' Liability for the Negligent Peformance of Sterilization Operations 25:1059 REPORTERS PRIVILEGE The Reporter's Privilege: An Analysis of the Common Law, Branzburg v. Hayes, and Recent Statutory Developments 50:000 RESTRICTIVE COVENANTS The Confidence Game: An Approach to the Law About Trade Secrets 25:347 RESTRICTIVE COVENANTS The Confidence Game: An Approach to the Law About Trade Secrets 25:347 SCHOOLS AND COLLEGES State Regulation of Private Religious Schools and the State's Interest in Education 52:123 SEARG: AND SEIZURE "Prospective Search Warrants" in Arizona: The Reasonableness of a Search Warrant Based on Evidence of a Probable Future Crime 25:539 SENTERORING Double Jeopardy and Consecutive Sentencing: What Does It Mean in Arizona" 52:202 SHIELD LAWS The Reporter's Privilege: An Analysis of the Common Law, Branzburg v. Tribal Taxation of Mineral Resource Development: An Analysis of the Common Law, Branzburg v. Tribal Taxation of Mineral Resource Development: An Analysis of the Common Law, Branzburg v. Tribal Taxation of Mineral Resource Development: An Analysis of the Common Law, Branzburg v. Tribal Taxation of Mineral Resource Development: An Analysis of the Common Law, Branzburg v. Tribal Taxation of Mineral Resource Development: An Analysis of the Common Law, Branzburg v. Tribal Taxation of Mineral Resource Development: An Analysis of the Common Law, Branz	Relief from Judgment under Rule 60(c)(6)	the Government's Duty to Aid in the Availability of Defense Witnesses 25:1
Medical Dependency in Arizona: The "Known Medical Danger" Standard of In re Cochise County Juvenile Ac- tion No. 5666-J. 25:769 State Regulation of Private Religious Schools and the State's Interest in Ed- ucation. 25:123 REMEDIES Applying a Change in the Statutory Rate of Interest to Preexisting Judg- ments in Arizona 25:515 The Apportionment of Punitive Dam- ages Among Joint Tortfeasors. 25:579 Fernandez v. Romo: Has Interpousal Tort Immunity Been Abolished in Arizona? 25:510 One More Mouth to Feed: A Look at Physicians' Liability for the Negligent Peformance of Sterilization Opera- tions. 25:1069 REPORTERS PRIVILEGE The Reporter's Privilege: An Analysis of the Common Law, Branzburg v. Hayes, and Recent Statutory Devel- opments 25:317 RESTRAINT OF TRADE The Confidence Game: An Approach to the Law About Trade Secrets. 25:347 SCHOOLS AND COLLEGES State Regulation of Private Religious Schools and the State's Interest in Ed- ucation. 25:123 SEARC; AND SEIZURE "Prospective Search Warrants" in Ari- zona: The Reasonableness of a Search Warrant Based on Evidence of a Probable Future Crime 25:529 SENTENCING Double Jeopardy and Consecutive Sen- tencing: What Does It Mean in Ari- zona? The Reporter's Privilege: An Analysis of the Common Law, Branzburg v. Hayes, and Recent Statutory Devel- opments 25:1069 TAXATION: CORPORATE IR.C. Section 162(a)(1) and the Profes- sional Corporation: Compensation Versus Dividends 24:411 TAXATION; FEDERAL INCOME IR.C. Section 162(a)(1) and the Profes- sional Corporation: Compensation Versus Dividends 24:411 TAXATION; FEDERAL INCOME IR.C. Section 162(a)(1) and the Profes- sional Corporation: Compensation Versus Dividends 24:411 TAXATION; InDIANS The Reporter's Privilege: An Analysis of the Common Law, Branzburg v. TAXATION; InDIANS The Reporter's Privilege: An Analysis of the Common Law, Branzburg v. TAXATION; InDIANS The Reporter's Privilege: An Analysis of the Common Law, Branzburg v.		of the Common Law, Branzburg v.
"Known Medical Danger" Standard of In re Cochise County Juvenile Action No. 5666-J	The American Indian Religious Freedom Act	
of In re Cochise County Juvenile Action No. 5666-J. State Regulation of Private Religious Schools and the State's Interest in Education	"Known Medical Danger" Standard	SMALL CLAIMS CLASS SUIT
State Regulation of Private Religious Schools and the State's Interest in Education		
Schools and the State's Interest in Education	tion No. 5666-J	
REMEDIES Applying a Change in the Statutory Rate of Interest to Preexisting Judgments in Arizona. The Apportionment of Punitive Damages Among Joint Tortfeasors		
Rate of Interest to Preexisting Judg- ments in Arizona	ucation	Sports Management Cases: The Pub-
ments in Arizona The Apportionment of Punitive Damages Among Joint Tortfeasors	Applying a Change in the Statutory	STATE ADMINISTRATIVE AGENCIES
Tort Immunity Been Abolished in Arizona? One More Mouth to Feed: A Look at Physicians' Liability for the Negligent Peformance of Sterilization Operations REPORTERS PRIVILEGE The Reporter's Privilege: An Analysis of the Common Law, Branzburg v. Hayes, and Recent Statutory Developments RESTRAINT OF TRADE The Confidence Game: An Approach to the Law About Trade Secrets SCHOOLS AND COLLEGES State Regulation of Private Religious Schools and the State's Interest in Education SCHOOLS AND SEIZURE "Prospective Search Warrants" in Arizona: The Reasonableness of a Search Warrant Based on Evidence of a Probable Future Crime SENTENCING Double Jeopardy and Consecutive Sentencing: What Does It Mean in Arizona? SHELD LAWS The Reporter's Privilege: An Analysis of the Common Law, Branzburg v. STATUTES Access for CATV Meets the Taking Clause: The Per Se Taking Rule of Loretto v. Teleprompter Manhattan CATV Corp. 25:689 The American Indian Religious Freedom Act Caruse: The Per Se Taking Rule of Loretto v. Teleprompter Manhattan CATV Corp. 25:689 The American Indian Religious Freedom Act Caruse: The Per Se Taking Rule of Loretto v. Teleprompter Manhattan CATV Corp. 25:689 The American Indian Religious Freedom Act Caruse: The Per Se Taking Rule of Loretto v. Teleprompter Manhattan CATV Corp. 25:429 Facilitation: Should it be Regarded as a Lesser Included Offense When Prosecution is Based on the Defendant's Complicity? STERILIZATION One More Mouth to Feed: A Look at Physicians' Liability for the Negligent Performance of Sterilization Operations. 25:1047 STERILIZATION Ne More Mouth to Feed: A Look at Physicians' Liability for the Negligent Performance of Sterilization Operations. 25:1047 STERILIZATION Ne More Mouth to Feed: A Look at Physicians' Liability for the Negligent Performance of Sterilization Operations. 25:1047 STERILIZATION Ne More Mouth to Feed: A Look at Physicians' Liability for the Negligent Performance of Sterilization Operations. 25:1047 STERILIZATION Ne More Mouth to Feed: A Look a	ments in Arizona	and the Eleventh Amendment De-
Tort Immunity Been Abolished in Arizona?	Fernandez v. Romo: Has Interpousal	STATUTES
Clause: The Per Se Taking Rule of Loretto v. Teleprompter Manhattan CATV Corp		
The Reporter's Privilege: An Analysis of the Common Law, Branzburg v. Hayes, and Recent Statutory Developments	One More Mouth to Feed: A Look at Physicians' Liability for the Negligent	Clause: The Per Se Taking Rule of
The Reporter's Privilege: An Analysis of the Common Law, Branzburg v. Hayes, and Recent Statutory Developments	tions	The American Indian Religious Freedom Act
cution is Based on the Defendant's Complicity?	REPORTERS PRIVILEGE	racilitation: Should it be Regarded as a
RESTRAINT OF TRADE The Confidence Game: An Approach to the Law About Trade Secrets 25:347 RESTRICTIVE COVENANTS The Confidence Game: An Approach to the Law About Trade Secrets	of the Common Law, Branzburg v. Hayes, and Recent Statutory Devel-	
The Confidence Game: An Approach to the Law About Trade Secrets 25:347 RESTRICTIVE COVENANTS The Confidence Game: An Approach to the Law About Trade Secrets 25:347 SCHOOLS AND COLLEGES State Regulation of Private Religious Schools and the State's Interest in Education	opments	STERILIZATION
The Confidence Game: An Approach to the Law About Trade Secrets	RESTRAINT OF TRADE	One More Mouth to Feed: A Look at
TAXATION I.R.C. Section 162(a)(1) and the Professional Corporation: Compensation Versus Dividends		
The Confidence Game: An Approach to the Law About Trade Secrets	RESTRICTIVE COVENANTS	TAXATION
State Regulation of Private Religious Schools and the State's Interest in Education		I.R.C. Section 162(a)(1) and the Profes-
State Regulation of Private Religious Schools and the State's Interest in Education	SCHOOLS AND COLLEGES	Versus Dividends
Schools and the State's Interest in Education	State Regulation of Private Religious	
SEARCi' AND SEIZURE "Prospective Search Warrants" in Arizona: The Reasonableness of a Search Warrant Based on Evidence of a Probable Future Crime		
"Prospective Search Warrants" in Arizona: The Reasonableness of a Search Warrant Based on Evidence of a Probable Future Crime		sional Corporation: Compensation
zona: The Reasonableness of a Search Warrant Based on Evidence of a Probable Future Crime		Versus Dividends 24:411
Search Warrant Based on Evidence of a Probable Future Crime		TAXATION; FEDERAL INCOME
SENTENCING Double Jeopardy and Consecutive Sentencing: What Does It Mean in Arizona?	Search Warrant Based on Evidence	
tencing: What Does It Mean in Arizona?	SENTENCING	
SHIELD LAWS The Reporter's Privilege: An Analysis of the Common Law, Branzburg v. TESTAMENTARY DISPOSITION Whose Wealth is it Anyway? Impedi-	Double Jeopardy and Consecutive Sen-	
SHIELD LAWS The Reporter's Privilege: An Analysis of the Common Law, Branzburg v. TESTAMENTARY DISPOSITION Whose Wealth is it Anyway? Impedi-	tencing: What Does It Mean in Ari-	Development: An Analysis of Mer-
The Reporter's Privilege: An Analysis of the Common Law, Branzburg v. TESTAMENTARY DISPOSITION Whose Wealth is it Anyway? Impedi-		rion v. Jicarilla Apache Tribe 25:220
of the Common Law, Branzburg v. Whose Wealth is it Anyway? Impedi-		TESTAMENTARY DISPOSITION
	of the Common Law, Branzburg v. Hayes, and Recent Statutory Devel-	Whose Wealth is it Anyway? Impedi-

TORTS	Amend the Statutory Garageman's
The Apportionment of Punitive Damages Among Joint Tortfeasors 25:581	Lien 25:1023
Fernandez v. Romo: Has Interspousal	TRESPASS
	Limitations on the Invitee's Preferred
Tort Immunity Been Abolished in Arizona?	Status: An Analysis of Nicoletti v.
Government Tort Immunity Revisited:	Westcor
Ryan v. State	
Limitations on the Invitee's Preferred	TRIAL PRACTICE
Status: An Analysis of Nicoletti v.	Counsel Misconduct in Arizona: A
Westcor	New Factor to Consider on Appellate
One More Mouth to Feed: A Look at	Review
	INITALD COMPETITION
Physicians' Liability for the Negligent	UNFAIR COMPETITION
Performance of Sterilization Opera-	The Confidence Game: An Approach
tions	to the Law About Trade Secrets 25:347
Privately Owned Parking Areas in Ari-	I III III OT TUDIOU II I I I I
zona Need Better Protection from	UNJUST ENRICHMENT
Unauthorized Vehicles; A Proposal to	Fernandez v. Romo: Has Interspousal
Amend the Statutory Garageman's	Tort Immunity Been Abolished in
Lien 25:1023	Arizona?
Product Simulation and Contributory	MOADIOUS LIABILITY
Trademark Infringement: A Right	VICARIOUS LIABILITY
Suggests a Wrong	Scope of Employment: An Employer's
Scope of Employment: An Employer's	Liability to Persons Injured by Em-
Liability to Persons Injured by Em-	ployees Who Are Remunerated for
ployees Who Are Remunerated for	Travel 25:254
Travel	WATER LAW
The Warning Claim in an Arizona	WATER LAW
Products Liability Action: Limita-	The Transportation Provisions of Ari-
tions on Duty to Warn 25:395	zona's 1980 Groundwater Manage-
TOMBLO	ment Act: A Proposed Definition of
TOWING	Compensable Injury 25:655
Privately Owned Parking Areas in Ari-	The Right to Use Groundwater in Ari-
zona Need Better Protection from	zona After Chino Valley II and Cher-
Unauthorized Vehicles; A Proposal to	ry v. Steiner
Amend the Statutory Garageman's	WITNESSES
Lien 25:1023	
TRADE SECRETS	Compulsory Process and the Scope of
	the Government's Duty to Aid in the
The Confidence Game: An Approach	Availability of Defense Witnesses 25:1
to the Law About Trade Secrets 25:347	WOMEN AND THE LAW
TRADEMARK INFRINGEMENT	
	What We Know, What We Think We
Product Simulation and Contributory	Know, And What We Don't Know
Trademark Infringement: A Right	About Women Law Professors 25:869
Suggests a Wrong 25:601	WORKMAN'S COMPENSATION
TRAFFIC	
Privately Owned Parking Areas in Ari-	Scope of Employment: An Employer's Liability to Persons Injured by Em-
zona Need Better Protection from	ployees Who Are Remunerated for
Unauthorized Vehicles; A Proposal to	Travel
Canada temoto, it i toposai to	23.234

TABLE OF CASES DISCUSSED

Cases discussed in the text of Articles, Notes, and Casenotes are listed here. The numeral preceding the colon refers to the volume in which the particular case is discussed. The numeral following the colon refers to the page on which the particular Article, Note, or Case Commentary discussing the case begins. Both plaintiff-defendant and defendant-plaintiff case titles are included for the convenience of the reader; the full case citation is given with the former.

-A-	Ballerini v. Aderholt, 44 F.2d 352 (1930) 25:202
	Bank of the United States, Osborn v 25:491
A.B. Dick Co., Henry v 25:601	Barber Co., Leitch Mfg. Co. v 25:601
A.W. Chesterton Co., Olson v 25:395	Barney v. City of New York, 193 U.S.
Abed v. A.H. Robins, — F.2d — (9th	430 (1902)
Cir. 1982)	Bauer, Custodio v., 251 Cal. App. 2d
	303, 59 Cal. Rptr. 463 (1967) 25:1081
Adickes v. S.H. Kress & Co., 398 U.S.	
144 (1970)	Beard v. Brown, 616 P.2d 726 (Wyo.
Agurs, United States v., 427 U.S. 97	1980)
(1976)	Bechtel Power Corp., Robarge v 25:254
(1976)	Beeler v. American Trust Co., 24 Cal.
P.2d 900 (1975) 25:579	2d 1, 147 P.2d 583 (1944) 25:561
P.2d 900 (1975)	Benton v. Maryland, 395 U.S. 784
Albernaz v. United States, 450 U.S. 333	(1969)
(1981)	Berge, State v., 130 Ariz. 135, 634 P.2d 947 (1981)
Allegheny County, Griggs v	
Allwright, Smith v	Beshada v. Johns-Manville Products
American Academy of Pediatrics v.	Corp., 90 N.J. 191, 447 A.2d 539
Heckler, 561 F. Supp. 395 (D.D.C.	(1982)
1983)	Bettwy, FICO v
American Patent Corp., Carbice Corp.	Bicron Corp., Kewanee Oil Co. v 25:347
1983)	Bicron Corp., Kewanee Oil Co. v 25:347 Biddle, Kunde v
American Trust Co., Beeler v 25:561	Bill Moore Motor Homes, Inc. v. State,
Ammerman v. Hubbard Broadcasting,	129 Ariz. 189, 629 P.2d 1025 (Ct.
Inc., 89 N.M. 207, 551 P.2d 1354 (1976)	App. 1981)
(1976)	Block, Hopi Indian Tribe v 25:429
Anderson v. Gobea, 18 Ariz. App. 277, 501 P.2d 453 (1972)	Blue Cross Assoc., Van Vactor v 25:975
501 P.2d 453 (1972) 25:254	Board of Education, Brown v 25:88
Anderson v. Nixon, 444 F. Supp. 1195 (1978)	
(1978)	Board of Election Commissioners, Mc-
Arizona Board of Regents, New v 25:177	Donald v
Arizona Coffee Shops, Inc. v. Phoenix	Board of Regents, Sipuel v., 332 U.S.
Downtown Park, Inc., 95 Ariz. 98,	631 (1948)
387 P.2d 801 (1963) 25:780	Board of Supervisors of Louisiana State
Arizona Highway Comm'n v. Stone, 93	University, Scott v 25:491
Ariz. 384, 381 P.2d 107 (1963) 25:1081	Board of Trustees of University of Ten-
Arizona Public Service Co. v. Southern	nessee, Soni v
Union Gas Co., 76 Ariz. 373, 265	Boddie v. Connecticut, 401 U.S. 371
P.2d 435 (1954)	Boddie v. Connecticut, 401 U.S. 371 (1971)
Aro Mfg. Co. v. Convertible Top Re-	Bogardus v. Commissioner, 302 U.S. 34
placement Co., 377 U.S. 476 (1964) . 25:601	(1937)
Askin & Marine Co. v. Commissioner,	Boise Payette Lumber Co., Idaho Gold Dredging Corp. v
66 F.2d 776 (2d Cir. 1933) 25:230	Dredging Corp. v
Associated Press, International News	Bolling v. Sharpe, 344 U.S. 1 (1952) 25:104
Service v	Brady v. Melody Homes Manufacturer,
	121 Ariz. 253, 589 P.2d 896 (Ct. App.
—B—	1979)
	Branzburg v. Hayes, 408 U.S. 665 (1972)
B.B. Chemical v. Ellis, 314 U.S. 495 (1942)	(1972)
(1942)	Brennan v. University of Kansas, 451
Badoni v. Higginson, 638 F.2d 172 (10th	F.2d 1287 (10th Cir. 1971) 25:491
Cir. 1980)	City of Bridgeport v. Reilly, 133 Conn.
Bailey, State v	31, 47 A.2d 865 (1946) 25:939

Bristor v. Cheatham, 73 Ariz. 228, 240 P.2d 185 (1952), rev'd 75 Ariz. 227, 255 P.2d 173 (1953)	Columbia Artists Management, Inc., Gershwin Publishing Corp. v
Broomfield, Eastin v	Commonwealth v. Roberts, 159 Mass. 372, 34 N.E. 402 (1893)
483 (1954)	Inc., 376 U.S. 234 (1976) 25:601 Connecticut, Boddie v 25:177 Confederated Tribes of the Colville In-
Burton v. Wilmington Parking Authority, 365 U.S. 715 (1961)	dian Reservation, Washington v 25:1059 Convertible Top Replacement Co., Aro Mfg. Co. v
	Corning Glass Works v. Jeannett Glass Co., 308 F. Supp. 1321 (S.D.N.Y. 1970)
-c-	County Board of Education, Cumming
Cady v. State, 129 Ariz. 258, 630 P.2d	v.,
554 (Ct. App. 1981)	Cox, State v.,
California Packing Corp. v. Sun-Maid	Cress, United States v 25:689
Raisin Growers, 165 F. Supp. 245	Crown Life Ins. Co., Jones v 25:975
California Packing Corp. v. Sun-Maid Raisin Growers, 165 F. Supp. 245 (S.D. Cal. 1958)	Cumming v. County Board of Educa-
Campo v. Sconeid, 301 N.Y. 408, 93	tion, 175 U.S. 528 (1899) 25:116 Currie v. Dooley, 132 Ariz. 584, 647
N.E.2d 802 (1950)	P.2d 1182 (Ct. App. 1982) 25:1023
P.2d — (1984) 25:000	Custodio v. Bauer, 251 Cal. App. 2d 303, 59 Cal. Rptr. 463 (1967) 25:1081
Carbice Corp. v. American Patent Corp., 283 U.S. 27 (1931) 25:601	200, 07 cm repair 105 (1701)
Carey v. Hume, 492 F.2d 631 (1974) 25:815	—D—
Carey v. Hume, 492 F.2d 631 (1974) 25:815 Catalina, Thomson v	
Carey v. Hume, 492 F.2d 631 (1974) . 25:815 Catalina, Thomson v	Dale, Roberson v
Carey v. Hume, 492 F.2d 631 (1974) . 25:815 Catalina, Thomson v	Dale, Roberson v. 25:491 Danforth, Planned Parenthood v. 24:265
Carey v. Hume, 492 F.2d 631 (1974) . 25:815 Catalina, Thomson v	Dale, Roberson v
Carey v. Hume, 492 F.2d 631 (1974) . 25:815 Catalina, Thomson v	Dale, Roberson v. 25:491 Danforth, Planned Parenthood v. 24:265 Darby Drug Co., Inc., Ives Laboratories v. 25:601 David, Herzberg v. 25:735
Carey v. Hume, 492 F.2d 631 (1974) . 25:815 Catalina, Thomson v	Dale, Roberson v. 25:491 Danforth, Planned Parenthood v., 24:265 Darby Drug Co., Inc., Ives Laboratories v. 25:601 David, Herzberg v. 25:735 Davis, Southeastern Community Col-
Carey v. Hume, 492 F.2d 631 (1974) . 25:815 Catalina, Thomson v	Dale, Roberson v. 25:491 Danforth, Planned Parenthood v., 24:265 Darby Drug Co., Inc., Ives Laboratories 25:601 David, Herzberg v. 25:735 Davis, Southeastern Community College v. 25:709 Davis v. Alaska, 415 U.S. 308 (1974) 25:815
Carey v. Hume, 492 F.2d 631 (1974) . 25:815 Catalina, Thomson v	Dale, Roberson v. 25:491 Danforth, Planned Parenthood v., 24:265 Darby Drug Co., Inc., Ives Laboratories v. 25:601 David, Herzberg v. 25:735 Davis, Southeastern Community College v. 25:709 Davis v. Alaska, 415 U.S. 308 (1974) 25:815 Dawson Chemical Co. v. Rohm & Haas
Carey v. Hume, 492 F.2d 631 (1974) . 25:815 Catalina, Thomson v	Dale, Roberson v. 25:491 Danforth, Planned Parenthood v., 24:265 Darby Drug Co., Inc., Ives Laboratories v. 25:601 David, Herzberg v. 25:735 Davis, Southeastern Community College v. 25:709 Davis v. Alaska, 415 U.S. 308 (1974) . 25:815 Dawson Chemical Co. v. Rohm & Haas Co., 448 U.S. 176 (1980) . 25:601
Carey v. Hume, 492 F.2d 631 (1974) . 25:815 Catalina, Thomson v	Dale, Roberson v. 25:491 Danforth, Planned Parenthood v., 24:265 Darby Drug Co., Inc., Ives Laboratories v. 25:601 David, Herzberg v. 25:735 Davis, Southeastern Community College v. 25:709 Davis v. Alaska, 415 U.S. 308 (1974) 25:815 Dawson Chemical Co. v. Rohm & Haas Co., 448 U.S. 176 (1980) 25:601 Day-Brite Lighting, Inc., Compoo Corp.
Carey v. Hume, 492 F.2d 631 (1974) . 25:815 Catalina, Thomson v	Dale, Roberson v. 25:491 Danforth, Planned Parenthood v., 24:265 Darby Drug Co., Inc., Ives Laboratories v. 25:601 David, Herzberg v. 25:735 Davis, Southeastern Community College v. 25:709 Davis v. Alaska, 415 U.S. 308 (1974). 25:815 Dawson Chemical Co. v. Rohm & Haas Co., 448 U.S. 176 (1980). 25:601 Day-Brite Lighting, Inc., Compeo Corp. v. 25:601 DeKuyper v. Witteman, 23 F. 871
Carey v. Hume, 492 F.2d 631 (1974) 25:815 Catalina, Thomson v. 25:579 Castillo-Puche, Hotchner v. 25:211 Causby, United States v. 25:689 Chambers v. Mississippi, 410 U.S. 284 (1973) . 25:815 Chambers-Castanes v. King County, 100 Wash. 2d 275, 669 P.2d 451 (1983) . 25:1081 Chandler v. Florida, 449 U.S. 560 (1981) . 25:815 Charles McCandles Tile Service v. United States, 422 F.2d 1336 (Ct. Cl. 1970) . 24:411 Cheatham, Bristor v. 25:657 Cherry v. Steiner, 543 F. Supp. 1270 (D. Ariz. 1982) . 25:473	Dale, Roberson v. 25:491 Danforth, Planned Parenthood v., 24:265 Darby Drug Co., Inc., Ives Laboratories v. 25:735 David, Herzberg v. 25:735 Davis, Southeastern Community College v. 25:709 Davis v. Alaska, 415 U.S. 308 (1974) . 25:815 Dawson Chemical Co. v. Rohm & Haas Co., 448 U.S. 176 (1980) . 25:601 Day-Brite Lighting, Inc., Compco Corp. v. 25:601 DeKuyper v. Witteman, 23 F. 871 (S.D.N.Y. 1885) . 25:601
Carey v. Hume, 492 F.2d 631 (1974) . 25:815 Catalina, Thomson v	Dale, Roberson v. 25:491 Danforth, Planned Parenthood v., 24:265 Darby Drug Co., Inc., Ives Laboratories v. 25:601 David, Herzberg v. 25:735 Davis, Southeastern Community College v. 25:709 Davis v. Alaska, 415 U.S. 308 (1974) 25:815 Dawson Chemical Co. v. Rohm & Haas Co., 448 U.S. 176 (1980) 25:601 Day-Brite Lighting, Inc., Compco Corp. v. 25:601 DeKuyper v. Witteman, 23 F. 871 (S.D.N.Y. 1885) 25:601 Dept. of Mental Hygiene, Estate of
Carey v. Hume, 492 F.2d 631 (1974) . 25:815 Catalina, Thomson v	Dale, Roberson v. 25:491 Danforth, Planned Parenthood v., 24:265 Darby Drug Co., Inc., Ives Laboratories v. 25:601 David, Herzberg v. 25:735 Davis, Southeastern Community College v. 25:709 Davis v. Alaska, 415 U.S. 308 (1974) . 25:815 Dawson Chemical Co. v. Rohm & Haas Co., 448 U.S. 176 (1980) . 25:601 Day-Brite Lighting, Inc., Compco Corp. v. 25:601 DeKuyper v. Witteman, 23 F. 871 (S.D.N.Y. 1885) . 25:601 Dept. of Mental Hygiene, Estate of Johnson v. 25:939
Carey v. Hume, 492 F.2d 631 (1974) 25:815 Catalina, Thomson v. 25:579 Castillo-Puche, Hotchner v. 25:211 Causby, United States v. 25:689 Chambers v. Mississippi, 410 U.S. 284 (1973) . 25:815 Chambers-Castanes v. King County, 100 Wash. 2d 275, 669 P.2d 451 (1983) . 25:1081 Chandler v. Florida, 449 U.S. 560 (1981) . 25:815 Charles McCandles Tile Service v. United States, 422 F.2d 1336 (Ct. Cl. 1970) . 24:411 Cheatham, Bristor v. 25:657 Cherry v. Steiner, 543 F. Supp. 1270 (D. Ariz. 1982) . 25:473 Chrisanthopouls, Tooley's Truck Stop, Inc. v. 25:792 City of Chiago, Noe v. 25:515	Dale, Roberson v. 25:491 Danforth, Planned Parenthood v., 24:265 Darby Drug Co., Inc., Ives Laboratories v. 25:601 David, Herzberg v. 25:735 Davis, Southeastern Community College v. 25:709 Davis v. Alaska, 415 U.S. 308 (1974) . 25:815 Dawson Chemical Co. v. Rohm & Haas Co., 448 U.S. 176 (1980) . 25:601 Day-Brite Lighting, Inc., Compco Corp. v. 25:601 DeKuyper v. Witteman, 23 F. 871 (S.D.N.Y. 1885) . 25:601 Dept. of Mental Hygiene, Estate of Johnson v. 25:939
Carey v. Hume, 492 F.2d 631 (1974) 25:815 Catalina, Thomson v. 25:579 Castillo-Puche, Hotchner v. 25:211 Causby, United States v. 25:689 Chambers v. Mississippi, 410 U.S. 284 (1973) . 25:815 Chambers-Castanes v. King County, 100 Wash. 2d 275, 669 P.2d 451 (1983) . 25:1081 Chandler v. Florida, 449 U.S. 560 (1981) . 25:815 Charles McCandles Tile Service v. United States, 422 F.2d 1336 (Ct. Cl. 1970) . 24:411 Cheatham, Bristor v. 25:657 Cherry v. Steiner, 543 F. Supp. 1270 (D. Ariz. 1982) . 25:473 Chrisanthopouls, Tooley's Truck Stop, Inc. v. 25:792 City of Chiago, Noe v. 25:515 Church of the Holy Cross Lutheran v. City of Scottsdale, 132 Ariz. 416, 646	Dale, Roberson v. 25:491 Danforth, Planned Parenthood v., 24:265 Darby Drug Co., Inc., Ives Laboratories v. 25:601 David, Herzberg v. 25:735 Davis, Southeastern Community College v. 25:709 Davis v. Alaska, 415 U.S. 308 (1974). 25:815 Dawson Chemical Co. v. Rohm & Haas Co., 448 U.S. 176 (1980). 25:601 Day-Brite Lighting, Inc., Compco Corp. v. 25:601 DeKuyper v. Witteman, 23 F. 871 (S.D.N.Y. 1885). 25:601 Dept. of Mental Hygiene, Estate of Johnson v. 25:939 Department of Social Services, Lassiter v. 25:561
Carey v. Hume, 492 F.2d 631 (1974) 25:815 Catalina, Thomson v. 25:579 Castillo-Puche, Hotchner v. 25:211 Causby, United States v. 25:689 Chambers v. Mississippi, 410 U.S. 284 (1973) . 25:815 Chambers-Castanes v. King County, 100 Wash. 2d 275, 669 P.2d 451 (1983) . 25:1081 Chandler v. Florida, 449 U.S. 560 (1981) . 25:815 Charles McCandles Tile Service v. United States, 422 F.2d 1336 (Ct. Cl. 1970) . 24:411 Cheatham, Bristor v. 25:657 Cherry v. Steiner, 543 F. Supp. 1270 (D. Ariz. 1982) . 25:473 Chrisanthopouls, Tooley's Truck Stop, Inc. v. 25:792 City of Chiago, Noe v. 25:515 Church of the Holy Cross Lutheran v. City of Scottsdale, 132 Ariz. 416, 646 P.2d 301 (Ariz. App. 1982) . 25:761	Dale, Roberson v. 25:491 Danforth, Planned Parenthood v., 24:265 Darby Drug Co., Inc., Ives Laboratories v. 25:601 David, Herzberg v. 25:735 Davis, Southeastern Community College v. 25:709 Davis v. Alaska, 415 U.S. 308 (1974). 25:815 Dawson Chemical Co. v. Rohm & Haas Co., 448 U.S. 176 (1980). 25:601 Day-Brite Lighting, Inc., Compco Corp. v. 25:601 DeKuyper v. Witteman, 23 F. 871 (S.D.N.Y. 1885). 25:601 Dept. of Mental Hygiene, Estate of Johnson v. 25:939 Department of Social Services, Lassiter v. 25:561
Carey v. Hume, 492 F.2d 631 (1974) 25:815 Catalina, Thomson v. 25:579 Castillo-Puche, Hotchner v. 25:211 Causby, United States v. 25:689 Chambers v. Mississippi, 410 U.S. 284 (1973) . 25:815 Chambers-Castanes v. King County, 100 Wash. 2d 275, 669 P.2d 451 (1983) . 25:1081 Chandler v. Florida, 449 U.S. 560 (1981) . 25:815 Charles McCandles Tile Service v. United States, 422 F.2d 1336 (Ct. Cl. 1970) . 24:411 Cheatham, Bristor v. 25:657 Cherry v. Steiner, 543 F. Supp. 1270 (D. Ariz. 1982) . 25:473 Chrisanthopouls, Tooley's Truck Stop, Inc. v. 25:515 Church of the Holy Cross Lutheran v. City of Scottsdale, 132 Ariz. 416, 646 P.2d 301 (Ariz. App. 1982) . 25:761 Clark v. Union Mutual Life Ins. Co.,	Dale, Roberson v. 25:491 Danforth, Planned Parenthood v., 24:265 Darby Drug Co., Inc., Ives Laboratories v. 25:735 Davis, Southeastern Community College v. 25:709 Davis v. Alaska, 415 U.S. 308 (1974). 25:815 Dawson Chemical Co. v. Rohm & Haas Co., 448 U.S. 176 (1980). 25:601 Day-Brite Lighting, Inc., Compco Corp. v. 25:601 DeKuyper v. Witteman, 23 F. 871 (S.D.N.Y. 1885). 25:601 Dept. of Mental Hygiene, Estate of Johnson v. 25:939 Department of Social Services, Lassiter v. 25:561 Department of Treasury, Ford Motor Co. v. 25:491 Dooley, Currie v. 25:1023
Carey v. Hume, 492 F.2d 631 (1974) 25:815 Catalina, Thomson v. 25:579 Castillo-Puche, Hotchner v. 25:211 Causby, United States v. 25:689 Chambers v. Mississippi, 410 U.S. 284 (1973) . 25:815 Chambers-Castanes v. King County, 100 Wash. 2d 275, 669 P.2d 451 (1983) 25:1081 Chandler v. Florida, 449 U.S. 560 (1981) . 25:815 Charles McCandles Tile Service v. United States, 422 F.2d 1336 (Ct. Cl. 1970) . 24:411 Cheatham, Bristor v. 25:657 Cherry v. Steiner, 543 F. Supp. 1270 (D. Ariz. 1982) 25:473 Chrisanthopouls, Tooley's Truck Stop, Inc. v. 25:792 City of Chiago, Noe v. 25:515 Church of the Holy Cross Lutheran v. City of Scottsdale, 132 Ariz. 416, 646 P.2d 301 (Ariz. App. 1982) 25:761 Clark v. Union Mutual Life Ins. Co. 692 F.2d 1370 (2d Cir. 1982) 25:975 Clark & Clark, Smith, Kline & French	Dale, Roberson v. 25:491 Danforth, Planned Parenthood v., 24:265 Darby Drug Co., Inc., Ives Laboratories v. 25:601 David, Herzberg v. 25:735 Davis, Southeastern Community College v. 25:709 Davis v. Alaska, 415 U.S. 308 (1974) . 25:815 Dawson Chemical Co. v. Rohm & Haas Co., 448 U.S. 176 (1980) . 25:601 Day-Brite Lighting, Inc., Compco Corp. v. 25:601 DeKuyper v. Witteman, 23 F. 871 (S.D.N.Y. 1885) . 25:601 Dept. of Mental Hygiene, Estate of Johnson v. 25:939 Department of Social Services, Lassiter v. 25:561 Department of Treasury, Ford Motor Co. v. 25:491 Dooley, Currie v. 25:1023 Dorsey v. Yoder Co., 331 F. Supp. 753 (E.D. Pa. 1971), afrd, 474 F.2d 1339
Carey v. Hume, 492 F.2d 631 (1974) 25:815 Catalina, Thomson v. 25:579 Castillo-Puche, Hotchner v. 25:211 Causby, United States v. 25:689 Chambers v. Mississippi, 410 U.S. 284 (1973) . 25:815 Chambers-Castanes v. King County, 100 Wash. 2d 275, 669 P.2d 451 (1983) . 25:1081 Chandler v. Florida, 449 U.S. 560 (1981) . 25:815 Charles McCandles Tile Service v. United States, 422 F.2d 1336 (Ct. Cl. 1970) . 24:411 Cheatham, Bristor v. 25:657 Cherry v. Steiner, 543 F. Supp. 1270 (D. Ariz. 1982) . 25:473 Chrisanthopouls, Tooley's Truck Stop, Inc. v. 25:515 Church of the Holy Cross Lutheran v. City of Scottsdale, 132 Ariz. 416, 646 P.2d 301 (Ariz. App. 1982) . 25:761 Clark v. Union Mutual Life Ins. Co., 692 F.2d 1370 (2d Cir. 1982) . 25:975 Clark & Clark, Smith, Kline & French Laboratories v. 25:601	Dale, Roberson v. 25:491 Danforth, Planned Parenthood v., 24:265 Darby Drug Co., Inc., Ives Laboratories v. 25:735 Davis, Southeastern Community College v. 25:709 Davis v. Alaska, 415 U.S. 308 (1974). 25:815 Dawson Chemical Co. v. Rohm & Haas Co., 448 U.S. 176 (1980). 25:601 Day-Brite Lighting, Inc., Compco Corp. v. 25:601 DeKuyper v. Witteman, 23 F. 871 (S.D.N.Y. 1885). 25:601 Dept. of Mental Hygiene, Estate of Johnson v. 25:939 Department of Social Services, Lassiter v. 25:561 Department of Treasury, Ford Motor Co. v. 25:491 Dooley, Currie v. 25:1023 Dorsey v. Yoder Co., 331 F. Supp. 753 (E.D. Pa. 1971), aff'd, 474 F.2d 1339 (3d Cir. 1973). 25:395
Carey v. Hume, 492 F.2d 631 (1974) 25:815 Catalina, Thomson v. 25:579 Castillo-Puche, Hotchner v. 25:211 Causby, United States v. 25:689 Chambers v. Mississippi, 410 U.S. 284 (1973) . 25:815 Chambers-Castanes v. King County, 100 Wash. 2d 275, 669 P.2d 451 (1983) . 25:1081 Chandler v. Florida, 449 U.S. 560 (1981) . 25:815 Charles McCandles Tile Service v. United States, 422 F.2d 1336 (Ct. Cl. 1970) . 24:411 Cheatham, Bristor v. 25:657 Cherry v. Steiner, 543 F. Supp. 1270 (D. Ariz. 1982) . 25:473 Chrisanthopouls, Tooley's Truck Stop, Inc. v. 25:515 Church of the Holy Cross Lutheran v. City of Scottsdale, 132 Ariz. 416, 646 P.2d 301 (Ariz. App. 1982) . 25:761 Clark v. Union Mutual Life Ins. Co., 692 F.2d 1370 (2d Cir. 1982) . 25:975 Clark & Clark, Smith, Kline & French Laboratories v. 25:661 Coca-Cola Co. v. Snow Crest Bever-	Dale, Roberson v. 25:491 Danforth, Planned Parenthood v., 24:265 Darby Drug Co., Inc., Ives Laboratories v. 25:7601 David, Herzberg v. 25:735 Davis, Southeastern Community College v. 25:709 Davis v. Alaska, 415 U.S. 308 (1974) 25:815 Dawson Chemical Co. v. Rohm & Haas Co., 448 U.S. 176 (1980) 25:601 Day-Brite Lighting, Inc., Compco Corp. v. 25:601 DeKuyper v. Witteman, 23 F. 871 (S.D.N.Y. 1885) 25:601 Dept. of Mental Hygiene, Estate of Johnson v. 25:939 Department of Social Services, Lassiter v. 25:561 Department of Treasury, Ford Motor Co. v. 25:491 Dooley, Currie v. 25:1023 Dorsey v. Yoder Co., 331 F. Supp. 753 (E.D. Pa. 1971), aff'd, 474 F.2d 1339 (3d Cir. 1973) 25:395 Downing v. Monitor Publishing Co.,
Carey v. Hume, 492 F.2d 631 (1974) 25:815 Catalina, Thomson v. 25:579 Castillo-Puche, Hotchner v. 25:211 Causby, United States v. 25:689 Chambers v. Mississippi, 410 U.S. 284 (1973) . 25:815 Chambers-Castanes v. King County, 100 Wash. 2d 275, 669 P.2d 451 (1983) . 25:1081 Chandler v. Florida, 449 U.S. 560 (1981) . 25:815 Charles McCandles Tile Service v. United States, 422 F.2d 1336 (Ct. Cl. 1970) . 24:411 Cheatham, Bristor v. 25:657 Cherry v. Steiner, 543 F. Supp. 1270 (D. Ariz. 1982) . 25:473 Chrisanthopouls, Tooley's Truck Stop, Inc. v. 25:515 Church of the Holy Cross Lutheran v. City of Scottsdale, 132 Ariz. 416, 646 P.2d 301 (Ariz. App. 1982) . 25:761 Clark v. Union Mutual Life Ins. Co., 692 F.2d 1370 (2d Cir. 1982) . 25:975 Clark & Clark, Smith, Kline & French Laboratories v. 25:601	Dale, Roberson v
Carey v. Hume, 492 F.2d 631 (1974) 25:815 Catalina, Thomson v. 25:579 Castillo-Puche, Hotchner v. 25:211 Causby, United States v. 25:689 Chambers v. Mississippi, 410 U.S. 284 (1973) . 25:815 Chambers-Castanes v. King County, 100 Wash. 2d 275, 669 P.2d 451 (1983) . 25:1081 Chandler v. Florida, 449 U.S. 560 (1981) . 25:815 Charles McCandles Tile Service v. United States, 422 F.2d 1336 (Ct. Cl. 1970) . 24:411 Cheatham, Bristor v. 25:657 Cherry v. Steiner, 543 F. Supp. 1270 (D. Ariz. 1982) . 25:473 Chrisanthopouls, Tooley's Truck Stop, Inc. v. 25:792 City of Chiago, Noe v. 25:515 Church of the Holy Cross Lutheran v. City of Scottsdale, 132 Ariz. 416, 646 P.2d 301 (Ariz. App. 1982) . 25:761 Clark v. Union Mutual Life Ins. Co., 692 F.2d 1370 (2d Cir. 1982) . 25:975 Clark & Clark, Smith, Kline & French Laboratories v. 25:601 Coca-Cola Co. v. Snow Crest Beverages, 64 F. Supp. 80 (D. Mass. 1946),	Dale, Roberson v. 25:491 Danforth, Planned Parenthood v., 24:265 Darby Drug Co., Inc., Ives Laboratories v. 25:735 Davis, Southeastern Community College v. 25:709 Davis v. Alaska, 415 U.S. 308 (1974) 25:815 Dawson Chemical Co. v. Rohm & Haas Co., 448 U.S. 176 (1980) 25:601 Day-Brite Lighting, Inc., Compco Corp. v. 25:601 DeKuyper v. Witteman, 23 F. 871 (S.D.N.Y. 1885) 25:601 Dept. of Mental Hygiene, Estate of Johnson v. 25:939 Department of Social Services, Lassiter v. 25:561 Department of Treasury, Ford Motor Co. v. 25:491 Dooley, Currie v. 25:1023 Dorsey v. Yoder Co., 331 F. Supp. 753 (E.D. Pa. 1971), aff d, 474 F.2d 1339 (3d Cir. 1973) 25:395 Downing v. Monitor Publishing Co., Inc., 415 A.2d 683 (1980) 25:815 Driscoll v. Harmon, 124 Ariz. 15, 601 P.2d 1051 (1979) 25:254

VOLUME	INDEX 1105
—E—	Garner v. Louisiana, 368 U.S. 157 (1961)25:709
Early, O'Neill v	(1961)
Eastin v. Broomfield, 116 Ariz. 576, 570 P.2d 744 (1977)	Gershwin Publishing Corp. v. Columbia Artists Management, Inc., 443
sioner, 38 T.C.M. (CCH) 763 (1979) 24:411 Eldridge, Mathews v	F.2d 1159 (2d Cir. 1971)
Eli Lilly & Co., William R. Warner &	Gong Lum v. Rice, 275 U.S. 78 (1927) 25:85 Gooch, State v
Co. v	Governor of Georgia v. Madrazo, 26
20, 1976, at 8, col. 2 (N.Y. Surr. Ct. Nov. 3, 1975)	U.S. (1 Pet.) 110 (1828) 25:491 Grand v. Arizona Public Service Co., 133 Ariz. 434, 652 P.2d 507 (1982) 25:505
Estate of Johnson v. Dept. of Mental Hygiene, 198 Cal. App. 2d 503, 17	Great Lakes Carbon Corp., Sunray DX Oil Co., v
Cal. Rptr. 909 (1962)	Green Bay Co., Pumpelly v
of Family Serv., 628 S.W.2d 388 (Mo. Ct. App. 1982)	84 (1962)
Estelle v. Gamble, 429 U.S. 97 (1976) . 25:150 Ex parte Lange, 85 U.S. (18 Wall.) 163.	
(1873)	—H—
Ex parte Nugent, 10 F. Cas. 4/1 (No.	Habib, Lee v
10,375) (1848) 25:815	Hansen v. Stoll, 130 Ariz. 454, 636 P.2d 1236 (1981)
—F—	Harper v. Shoppell, 26 F. 519 (C.C.S.D.N.Y. 1886)
F. Arthur Stone & Sons v. Gibson, 230 Kan. 224, 630 P.2d 1164 (1981) 25:473	Harper v. Virginia Board of Elections, 383 U.S. 663 (1968)
Fairchild Camera & Instr. Corp., Motorola, Inc. v	Harris, State v
Farr v. Pitchess, 522 F.2d 464 (1975) 25:815 Farrington v. Tokushige, 273 U.S. 284	Harris-Seybold Co., McKee v
(1927)	Hayes, Branzburg v
Fernandez v Romo 132 Ariz 447 646	rics v
P.2d 878 (1982)	Henderson v. Towle, 23 Ariz. 377, 203 P. 1085 (1922)
Cherpakov v. United States, 355 U.S. 873 (1957)	Henry v. A.B. Dick Co., 224 U.S. 1 (1912), overruled in Motion Picture
FICO v. Bettwy, 113 Ariz. 520, 558 P.2d 14 (1976)	Patents Co. v. Univeral Film Mfg. Co., 243 U.S. 502 (1917)
Flagg Bros. v. Brooks, 436 U.S. 149 (1978)	Herbert v. Lando, 441 U.S. 153 (1979) 25:815 Hernandez v. State ex rel. Ariz. Dept. of
Fools Crow v. Gullett, 341 F. Supp. 785	Econ. Sec., 23 Ariz. App. 32, 530 P.2d 389 (1975)
(D.S.D. 1982), aff'd, No. 82-1852 (8th Cir., May 10, 1983)	555 P.2d 667 (1976)
Treasury, 323 U.S. 459 (1945) 25:491	Higginson, Badoni v
Fruehauf Corp., Truck Equipment Service Co. v	S.E.2d 168 (1955)
_ G_	Holt, Rinehart & Winston, Inc., Rinaldi v.,
G.S. Suppinger Co., Morton Salt Co. v. 25:601 Gaines v. Canada, Missouri ex rel., 305	Rep. 3073 (D.D.C. 1981), aff d, No. 82-1852 (8th Cir. May 10, 1983) 25:429
U.S. 337 (1938)	Hotchner v. Castillo-Puche, 551 F.2d 910 (2d Cir. 1977)

Howard v. Perrin, 8 Ariz. 347, 76 P. 460 (1904), aff d, 200 U.S. 71 (1906) 25:473 Hubbard Broadcasting, Inc., Ammerman v 25:815 Huber Baking Co. v. Stroehmann Brothers Co., 252 F.2d 945 (2d Cir. 1957) 25:601 Hudson Oil Co., Inc. v. Union Oil Co., 131 Ariz. 285, 640 P.2d 847 (1982) 25:747 Hume, Carey v 25:815	Ives Laboratories, Inc. v. Darby Drug Co., Inc., 455 F. Supp. 939 (E.D.N.Y. 1978), aff'd, Ives Laboratories, Inc. v. Darby Drug Co., Inc., 601 F.2d 631 (2d Cir. 1979), on remand, Ives Laboratories, Inc. v. Darby Drug Co., Inc., 488 F. Supp. 394 (E.D.N.Y. 1980), rev'd Ives Laboratories, Inc. v. Darby Drug Co., Inc., 638 F.2d 538 (2d Cir. 1981), rev'd, Inwood Laboratories, Inc. v. Ives Laboratories, Inc., 456
—I —	U.S. 844 (1982), aff'd, Ives Laboratories, Inc. v. Inwood Laboratories, Inc., PAT. TRADEMARK & COPY-
Iannelli v. United States, 420 U.S. 770 (1975)	RIGHT J. (BNA) 412 (2d Cir. 1982) . 25:601
Idaho Gold Dredging Corp. v. Boise Payette Lumber Co., 54 Idaho 765, 37	_J_
P.2d 407 (1933)	Jarvis v. State Land Department, 104 Ariz. 527, 456 P.2d 385 (1969) 25:473 Jarvis v. State Land Department, 106
In re Appeal of Cochise County Juve- nile Action No. 5666-J, 133 Ariz. 157,	Ariz. 506, 479 P.2d 169 (1970) 25:655 Jay Six Cattle Co., State v 25:761
650 P.2d 459 (1982)	Jeannett Glass Co., Corning Class Works v
638 P.2d 692 (1981)	(1977)
In re Appeal in Maricopa County Juve- nile Action No. JS-4130, 132 Ariz.	Johns-Manville Products Corp., Beshada v
486, 647 P.2d 184 (1982) 25:561 In re Appeal in Pima County Juvenile Action S-191, 132 Ariz. 377, 646 P.2d	rections, 373 F. Supp. 1108 (S.D. Tex. 1974)
262 (1982)	App. 630, 150 Cal Rptr. 375 (1978) . 25:975
No. 3000-J, 133 Ariz. 137, 630 P.2d	Jurek v. Jurek, 124 Ariz. 596, 606 P.2d 812 (1980)
459 (1982)	—K— Kahn v. Commissioner, 108 F.2d 748
In re Escher, 94 Misc. 2d 952, 407 N.Y.S.2d 106 (1978)	(2d Cir. 1940)
In re Farber, 78 N.J. 259, 394 A.2d 330 (1978)	164 (1979)
In re Federal Skywalk Cases, 680 F.2d 1175 (8th Cir. 1982)	11// (U.S. Nov. 9, 1982) 25:3
In re Lewis, 501 F.2d 418 (1974) 25:815 In re Northern Dist. of Calif. "Dalkon Shield" IUD Prod. Liab. Litig., 521	Kellogg Co. v. National Biscuit Co., 305 U.S. 111 (1938)
F. Supp. 1188, 526 F. Supp. 887 (N.D. Calif. 1981)	365 F.2d 965 (D.C. Cir. 1966) 25:211 Kentucky State Board v. Rudasill, 589
In re Phillip B., 92 Cal. App. 3d 796, 156 Cal. Rptr. 48 (1979)	S.W.2d 877 (Ky. 1979) 25:123 Kerr-McGee Corp., Silkwood v 25:815
In re Walters, 278 Pa. 421, 123 A. 408 (1924)	Kewanee Oil Co. v. Bicron Corp., 416 U.S. 470 (1974)
Ingannamorte v. Kings Supermarkets, Inc., 55 N.J. 223, 260 A.2d 841 (1970) 25:792	F.2d 1074 (6th Cir. 1973), rev'd, 416 U.S. 470 (1974)
Ingraham v. Wright, 430 U.S. 651 (1977)	King County, Chamber-Castanes v 25:1081 Klapprott v. United States, 335 U.S. 601
International News Service v. Associated Press, 248 U.S. 215 (1918) 25:601 Isaacson, Rosenbaum, Spiegleman, &	(1949)
Friedman, P.C. v. United States, 79-2 U.S.T.C. (CCH) 87695 (Ct. Cl. 2979) 24:411	N.Y., 700 F.2d 870 (2d Cir. 1983) 25:975 Kras, United States v

Kunde v. Biddle, 41 Ill. App. 3d 223,	County of Maricopa v. Maberry, 555
353 N.E.2d 410 (Ct. App. 1976) 25:1023	F.2d 207 (9th Cir. 1977) 25:505
••	Marsh v. Alabama, 326 U.S. 501 (1946) 25:151
*	Marion Laboratories v. Michigan Phar-
—L—	macal Corp., 338 F. Supp. 762 (E.D.
Landa Harbert v. 25,016	Mich. 1972), aff d mem., 473 F.2d 910
Lando, Herbert v	(6th Cir. 1973)
Lassiter v. Department of Social Serv-	Mark-Fi Records, Inc., Screen-Gems
ices, 452 U.S. 18 (1981) 25:561	Columbia Music, Inc. v
Lee v. Habib, 424 F.2d 291 (D.C. Cir.	Massengill v. Yuma County, 104 Ariz.
1970)	581, 456 P.2d 376 (1969)
Leitch Mfg. Co. v. Barber Co., 302 U.S.	Morrissey v. Commissioner, 296 U.S.
458 (1938)	344 (1931)
458 (1938)	Mathews v. Eldridge, 424 U.S. 319
Lippman v. Sears Roebuck & Co., 44	(1976)
Cal. 2d 136, 280 P.2d 775 (1955) 25:792	(1976)
Linn v. North Idaho Dist. Med. Serv.,	N.E. 545 (1928) 25:85
102 Idaho 679, 638 P.2d 876 (1981) . 25:975	Melody Homes Manufacturer, Brady v. 25:395
Littlejohn, Ealy v	Mercoid Corp. v. Minneapolis-Honey-
Long Island R.R. Co., Palsgraf v., 25:85	well Regulator Co., 320 U.S. 680
CATV Corp., 102 S. Ct. 3164 (1982) 25:689	(1944)
Loretto v. Teleprompter Manhattan	Mercoid Corp. v. Mid-Continental In-
CATV Corp., 53 N.Y.2d 124, 423	vestment Co., 320 U.S. 661 (1944) 25:601
N.E.2d 320 (1981), rev'd, 102 S. Ct.	Merrell-National Laboratories v. Zenith
N.E.2d 320 (1981), rev'd, 102 S. Ct. 3164 (1982)	Laboratories, 194 U.S.P.Q. (BNA) 157 (D.N.J. 1977), aff'd without reach-
Los Angeles Memorial Coliseum Com-	ing merits, 579 F.2d 786 (3d Cir.
mission v. National Football League,	1978)
Civ. No. 78-3523 (C.D. Cal., May 7,	Merryweather v. Nixan, 101 Eng. Rep.
1982), appeal docketed, Nos. 82-5572,	1978)
82-5574, 82-5664, 82-5665 (9th Cir.	Meyer v. Nebraska, 262 U.S. 390 (1923) 25:123
June 21, 1982)	Micallef v. Miehle Co., Division of
Lugar v. Edmonson Oil Co., 102 S. Ct.	Miehle-Goss Dexter, Inc., 39 N.Y.2d
2744 (1982)	376, 379, 384 N.Y.S.2d 115, 117, 348
Lujan, State v	N.E.2d 571, 573 (1976) 25:395
Luth v. Rogers & Babler Construction	Michigan Pharmacal Corp., Marion
Co., 507 P.2d 761 (Alaska 1973) 25:254	Laboratories v
	Mid-Continental Investment Co., Mer-
M	coid Corp. v
—M—	ball League, 550 F. Supp. 558 (E.D.
Manhattan Life Inc Co of NV	Pa. 1982)
Manhattan Life Ins. Co. of N.Y., Krauss v	Miehle Co., Division of Miehle-Goss
McBride v. Superior Ct., 130 Ariz, 193.	Dexter, Inc., Micallef v 25:395
McBride v. Superior Ct., 130 Ariz. 193, 635 P.2d 178 (1981) 25:515	Milwaukee Braves, Wisconsin v 25:995
McCardle, Ex Parte, 74 U.S. (7 Wall.)	Minor Minor v 25.515
506 (1868)	Minor v. Minor, 175 Cal. App. 2d 277,
McDonald v. Board of Election Com-	345 P.20 954 (1959)
missioners, 394 U.S. 802 (1969) 25:177	Minneapolis-Honeywell Regulator Co., Mercoid Corp. v
McFarland, Higgins v	Mississippi Chamban V 25:01
McKee v. Harris-Seybold Co., 118 N.J.	Missoni ev rel Gaines v. Canada 305
Super. 480, 288 A.2d 585 (1972) 25:515 McKelvie, Nebraska District of Luthern	U.S. 337 (1938)
Synod v	Missouri State Div. of Family Serv., Es-
McLaurin v. Oklahoma State Regents,	tate of Wallace v
339 U.S. 637 (1950)	Mitchell, United States v 25:429
M.G.A. Theaters, Inc. v. Montgomery,	Monitor Publishing Co., Inc., Downing
83 Ariz. 339, 321 P.2d 1009 (1958) 25:244	v
Madison, Marbury v., 25:85	Moore v. Travelers Indemnity Co., 352
Madrazo, Governor of Georgia v 25:491	So. 2d 270 (La. App. 1977)
Mahon, Pennsylvania Coal Co. v 25:689	Montgomery, M.G.A. Theaters, Inc. v., 25:244
Marbury v Madison S U.S. (1 Cranch)	Morroe v. Pape, 321 U.S. 167 (1961) 25:151
Marbury v. Madison, 5 U.S. (1 Cranch) 137 (1803)	Morey v. Commonwealth, 108 Mass. 433 (1871)
43.03	100 (1011)

Morgan v. State, 337 So. 2d 951 (Fla. 1976)	O'Connor v. Donaldson, 422 U.S. 563
	(1975)
Morton v. Mancari, 417 U.S. 535 (1973) 25:429	O'Connor, Windaver v.,
Morton Salt Co. v. G.S. Suppinger Co.,	Ortwein v. Schwab, 410 U.S. 656 (1973) 25:177
314 U.S. 488 (1942)	Osborn v. Bank of the United States, 22 U.S. (9 Wheat) 738 (1824)
Mfg. Co., 243 U.S. 502 (1917) 25:601	O.S. (5 Wilcat) 730 (1024) 25.451
Motorola, Inc. v. Fairchild Camera &	n.
Instr. Corp., 366 F. Supp. 1173 (D.	_P_
Ariz. 1973)	Pacific Greyhound Lines v. Sun Valley
	Bus Lines, 70 Ariz. 65, 216 P.2d 404
N	(1950)
National Biomit Co. Walland Co	Pagliero v. Wallace China Co., 198 F.2d
National Biscuit Co., Kellogg Co. v 25:601 National Football League, Los Angeles	339 (9th Cir. 1952)
Memorial Coliseum Commission v 25:995	Palsgraf v Long Island R R 248 N Y
National Football League, Mid-South	339, 162 N.E. 99 (1928)
Grizzlies v	Palsgraf v. Long Island R.R., 248 N.Y. 339, 162 N.E. 99 (1928)
National Football League, North Amer-	Paul v. Davis, 424 U.S. 693 (1976) 25:151
ican Soccer League v	Pearce, North Carolina v 25:202
Nebraska District of Lutheran Synod v. McKelvie, 104 Neb. 93, 175 N.W. 531	Penn Central Transportation Co. v.
(1919), rev'd, 262 U.S. 404 (1923) 25:123	New York City, 438 U.S. 104 (1978) 25:689 Pennsylvania Coal Co. v. Mahon, 260
Nebraska, Meyer v	U.S. 393 (1922)
New v. Arizona Board of Regents, 127	Peterson, Northwest Indian Cemetery
Ariz. 68, 618 P.2d 238 (Ct. App. 1980) 25:177	Protective Ass'n v
New Mexico Ranchers Ass'n v. I.C.C.,	Petro-Chem Marketing Co. v. United
702 F.2d 227 (D.C. Cir. 1982) 25:429 New York City, Penn Central Trans-	States, 602 F.2d 959 (Ct. Cl. 1979) 24:411 Peyote Way Church of God v. Smith,
portation Co. v	556 F. Supp. 632 (N.D. Texas, 1983) 25:429
New York Times v. Sullivan, 376 U.S.	City of Phoenix, State ex rel. Dandoy v. 25:735
254 (1964) 25:211, 25:815	Phoenix Downtown Park, Inc., Arizona
Nixan, Merryweather v. 25:579 Nixon, Anderson v. 25:815	Coffee Shops, Inc. v., 95 Ariz. 98, 387
Nixon, Anderson v	P.2d 801 (1963)
Noe v. City of Chicago, 56 Ill. 2d 346, 307 N.E.2d 376 (1974)	Pierce v. Society of Sisters, 268 U.S. 510 (1942)
Noot, Resident v	
Norman, Ohio Farmers Insurance Co.	Pierson v. Ray, 386 U.S. 547 (1967) 25:151 Pitchess, Farr v
v	Planned Parenthood v. Danforth, 428
North American Soccer League v. Na-	U.S. 52 (1976)
tional Football League, 465 F. Supp. 665, 505 F. Supp. 659 (C.D.N.Y.	Plessy v. Ferguson, 163 U.S. 537 (1896) 25:85 Politte, State v
1980), 670 F.2d 1249 (2d Cir. 1982) . 25:995	Polk County v. Dodson, 454 U.S. 312
North Carolina v. Pearce, 395 U.S. 711	Polk County v. Dodson, 454 U.S. 312 (1981)
(1969)	Pope, Southwest Cotton Co. v., 25 Ariz.
North Idaho Dist. Med. Serv., Linn v. 25:975	364, 218 P. 152 (1923)
Northwest Indian Cemetery Protective Ass'n v. Peterson, 552 F. Supp. 951	Pumpelly v. Green Bay Co., 80 U.S. (13 Wall.) 166 (1872)
(N.D. Cal., 1982) 25:429	Procunier v. Navarette, 434 U.S. 555
(N.D. Cal., 1982)	(1978)
Drug, Inc., 271 F.2d 569 (2d Cir.	Promo Pharmaceutical Laboratories, S
1959)	K & F Co. v
	PruneYard Shopping Center v. Robins, 447 U.S. 74 (1980)
-0-	47 0.3. 74 (1900)
Ohio, Brown v	n.
Ohio Farmers Insurance Co. v. Nor-	—R—
	Randolph, Town of v. Roberts, 346
man, 122 Ariz. 330, 594 P.2d 1026 (Ct. App. 1979)	Mass. 578, 195 N.E.2d 72 (1964) 25:939
Oklahoma State Regents, McLaurin v., 25:85 Olin, State ex rel. Nagle v	Rehner, Rice v
Olin, State ex rel. Nagle v	Reilly, City of Bridgeport v
N.W.2d 530 (N.D. 1977) 25:395	Resident v. Noot, 305 N.W.2d 311
O'Neill v. Early, 208 F.2d 286 (4th Cir.	(Minn. 1981)
1953)	Rice, Gong Lum v.,

Rice v. Rehner, 103 S. Ct. 3291 (1983)25:1059 Richas v. Superior Court, 133 Ariz. 512, 652 P.2d 1035 (1982)	Scottsdale, City of v. Church of the Holy Cross Lutheran, 132 Ariz. 416, 646 P.2d 301 (Ariz. App. 1982)
Ariz. 280, 640 P.2d 211 (Ct. App. 1982)	Sewell v. Brookbank, 119 Ariz. 422, 581 P.2d 267 (Ct. App. 1978) 25:211
(M.D.N.C. 1979)	Shapiro, Ronwin v
Roberts, Commonwealth v	Sharpe, Bolling v., 25:85 Shaver, State v. 25:123
Roberts, State v.,	Shoppell, Harper v
Robins, Abed v	Shuput v. Heubelin, Inc., 511 F.2d 1104,
Robins, PruneYard Shopping Center v. 25:689 Rodriguez, San Antonio School District v	1 Î 05 (10th Cir. 1975)
Rodriguez, San Antonio Independent School District v	Simpson v. United States, 435 U.S. 6 (1978)
Rogers & Babler Construction Co.,	Sipuel v. Board of Regents, 332 U.S.
Rohm & Haas Co., Dawson Chemical	631 (1948)
Co. v	P.2d 574 (1969), vacated and re-
Romo, Fernandez v 25:591	manded, 402 U.S. 939 (1971)25:177
Ronwin v. Shapiro, 657 F.2d 1071 (9th Cir. 1981)	Smith v. Allwright, 321 U.S. 649 (1944) 25:85 Smith v. Allwright, 321 U.S. 649 (1943) 25:151
Rubi v. Transamerica Title & Insurance	Smith, Kline & French Laboratories v.
Co., 131 Ariz. 403, 641 P.2d 891 (Ct.	Clark & Clark, 157 F.2d 725 (3d Cir.
App. 1981)	1946)
Rumsey, State v	Hart Pharmaceutical Corp., 90 F.
Ryan v. State, 134 Ariz. 327, 636 P.2d	Supp. 976 (S.D.N.Y. 1950) 25:601
616, 134 Ariz. 308, 656 P.2d 597	Smith, Peyote Way Church of God v. 25:429 Smith, Zerilli v
(1982)	Sniadach v. Family Finance Corp., 395
6	U.S. 337 (1969)
<u>-s</u> -	Snow Crest Beverages, Coca-Cola Co. v
S K & F Co. v. Premo Pharmaceutical	Society of Sisters, Pierce v 25:123
Laboratories, 625 F.2d 1055 (3d Cir.	Soni v. Board of Trustees of University
1980)	of Tennessee, 513 F.2d 347 (6th Cir. 1975)
(1967)	Sony Corp. of America, Universal City
Salmon, Meinhard v., 25:85	Studios, Inc. v
San Antonio Independent School Dis- trict v. Rodriguez, 411 U.S. 1 (1973)	Southeastern Community College v. Davis, 442 U.S. 397 (1979)25:709
Santosky v. Kramer, 455 U.S. 745 (1982)	Southern Union Gas Co., Arizona Public Service Co. v
Saxbe v. Washington Post Co., 417 U.S. 843 (1974)	364, 218 P. 152 (1923)
Scheuer v. Rhodes, 416 U.S. 232 (1974) 25:151	Ariz. 403, 291 P.2d 764 (1955) 25:473
Schwab, Ortwein v	Sparks v. Republic Nat. Life Ins. Co., 132 Ariz. 529, 647 P.2d 1127, cert. de- nied, 103 S. Ct. 490 (1982)25:975
Schwartz, Upiohn Co. v 25:601	State, Morgen v
Scofield, Campo v	State, Morgen v
Scott v. Board of Supervisors of Louisi- ana State University, 336 F.2d 557	730 (1901)
(5th Cir. 1964)	947 (1981)

State, Bill Moore Motor Homes, Inc. v. 25:1081 State, Cady v. 25:1081 State, Cody v. 25:1081 State v. Collins, 111 Ariz. 303, 528 P.2d 829 (1974). 25:202 State v. Cox, 110 Ariz. 603, 522 P.2d 29 (1974). 25:539 State ex rel. Dandoy v. City of Phoenix, 133 Ariz. 334, 651 P.2d 862 (Ct. App. 1982). 25:735 State ex rel. Emery v. Knapp, 167 Kan. 546, 207 P.2d 440 (1949). 25:473 State ex rel. Nagle v. Olin, 64 Ohio St. 2d 341, 415 N.E.2d 279 (1980). 25:123 State v. Ferguson, 119 Ariz. 55, 579 P.2d 559 (1978). 25:202 State v. Gooch. No. 5938 (Ariz, March	Sun-Maid Raisin Growers, California Packing Corp. v. 25:515 Sunray DX Oil Co. v. Great Lakes Carbon Corp., 476 P.2d 329 (Okla. 1970) 25:601 Sun Valley Bus Lines v. Pacific Greyhound Lines
State v. Gooch, No. 5938 (Ariz. March 1, 1984)	—T—
State v. Harris, 134 Ariz. 287, 655 P.2d	
1339 (Ct. App. 1982)	T.V.A., Sequoyah v
State v. Kasuboski, 87 Wis. 2d 407, 275	Loretto v
N.W.2d 101 (Ct. App. 1978) 25:123	Tenney v. Brandhove, 341 U.S. 367 (1951)
State Land Department, Jarvis v 25:601	Texas, Washington v 25:525, 25:815
State v. Lujan, 124 Ariz. 365, 604 P.2d	Texas Department of Corrections,
629 (1979)	Johnson v
661 (Ct. App. 1982) 25:1047	Thompson, St. Amant v.,
State v. Roberts, 131 Ariz. 513, 642 P.2d	P. 198 (1928)
State v. Roberts, 131 Ariz. 513, 642 P.2d 858 (1982)	Tinghitella, State v
State v. Rumsey, 130 Ariz. 427, 636 P.2d 1209 (1981)	Tokushige, Farrington v 25:123
State Ryan v 25:1021	Tooley's Truck Stop, Inc. v. Chrisantho- pouls, 55 N.J. 231, 260 A.2d 845
State v. Sandstrom. 244 Kan 573 581	(1970)
State v. Sandstrom, 244 Kan. 573, 581 P.2d 812 (1978) 25:815	Torre, Garland v
State v. Shaver, 249 N.W.2d 883 (N.D. 1980)	Torre, Garland v
1980)	lown of Chino Valley v. City of Pres-
State v. Stewart, 131 Ariz. 407, 641 P.2d	cott, 131 F. Supp. 1270 (D. Ariz.
895 (Ct. App. 1982)	1982)
State v. Superior Court, 111 Ariz. 130, 524 P.2d 951 (1954) 25:254	Transamerica Title & Insurance Co.,
State v. Tinghitella, 108 Ariz. 1, 491	Rubi v
State v. Tinghitella, 108 Ariz. 1, 491 P.2d 834 (1971)	Travelers Indemnity Co., Moore v 25:520
State v. Vitale, 23 Ariz. App. 37, 530 P.2d 394 (1975) 25:539	Truck Equipment Service Co. v. Frue-
P.2d 394 (1975)	hauf Corp., 536 F.2d 1210 (8th Cir. 1976)
State v. Whisner, 47 Ohio St. 2d 181,	17.0)
351 N.E.2d 750 (1976)	**
Sterling Drug Inc., Norwich Pharmacal	—U—
Co. v	Union Mutual Life Ins. Co., Clark v 25:975
Co. v	Union Oil Co. v. Hudson Oil Co., Inc.,
Stiffel Co., Sears Roebuck & Co. v 25:601	131 Ariz. 285, 640 P.2d 847 (1982) 25:755
Stix Products, Inc. v. United Merchants	United Merchants and Mfrs., Stix Prod-
and Mfrs., 295 F. Supp. 479 (S.D.N.Y. 1968) 25:601	ucts, Inc. v
(S.D.N.Y. 1968)	United States v. Agurs, 427 IIS 97
Stroehmann Brothers Co., Huber Bak-	(1976)
Stroehmann Brothers Co., Huber Baking Co. v	(1976)
Stone v. Arizona Highway Comm'n, 93	(1946)
Ariz. 384, 381 P.2d 107 (1963) 25:1047 Sullivan, New York Times v 25:211, 25:815	Office States v. Classic, 313 U.S. 299
55111-1111, 110 1 101k 11111CS V 25:211, 25:815	(1941)

United States v. Cress, 243 U.S. 316 (1917)	Virginia Board of Elections, Harper v. 25:177 Vitale, State v.,
United States, Iannelli v 25:202	W
United States Imports and Exports v.	
Superior Court, 134 Ariz. 43, 653 P.2d 691 (1982)	Walstad v. University of Minnesota Hospitals, 442 F.2d 634 (8th Cir. 1971)
United States, Jeffers v 25:202	1971)
United States, Kaiser Aetna v 25:689 United States v. Kras, 409 U.S. 434	the Colville Indian Reservation, 447
(1973)	U.S. 134 (1980) 25:1059
United States v. Liddy, 478 F.2d 586 (1972)25:815	Washington v. Texas, 388 U.S. 14 (1967)25:192, 25:815
(1972)	(1967)
United States v. Mitchell, 445 U.S. 535	Washington Gas Light Co. v. Lansden,
(1980)	172 U.S. 534 (1899)
United States v. Orsini, 424 F. Supp.	Washington Post Inc., Saxbe v 25:815
229 (1976), aff'd, 559 F.2d 1200 (1977) 25:815	Whalen v. United States, 445 U.S. 684
United States v. Price, 383 U.S. 787	(1980)
(1966)	317, 374 P.2d 578 (1962), appeal dis-
(7th Cir.), cert. denied, 414 U.S. 856	missed, 375 U.S. 7 (1963) 25:473
(1973)	Wisconsin v. Yoder, 406 U.S. 205 (1972)
United States, Simpson v 25:202	25:123, 25:429
United States v. Steelhammer, 539 F.2d	Windover v. O'Connor, 107 Ariz, 267.
373 (1976)	485 P.2d 1157 (1971) 25:591
United States v. Valenzuela-Bernal, 102	Wolfson, United States v., 322 F. Supp.
S. Ct. 3440 (1982)	798 (D. Del. 1971), aff'd, 454 F.2d 60
United States, Whalen v 25:202	(3rd Cir.), cert. denied, 406 U.S. 924
United States v. Wolfson, 322 F. Supp.	(1972)
798 (D. Del. 1971), aff d, 454 F.2d 60	William R. Warner & Co. v. Eli Lily &
(1972) 25-102	Co., 265 U.S. 526 (1924) 25:601
(3rd Cir.), cert. denied, 406 U.S. 924 (1972)	Wallace v. Holmes, 20 F. Cas. 74 (No.
Corp. of America, 659 F.2d 963 (9th	17,100) (C.C.D. Conn. 1871) 25:601
Cir. 1981), cert. granted, 457 U.S.	Wallace China Co., Pagliero v 25:601
1116 (1982)	Washington Post Company v. Keogh,
Universal Mfg. Co., Motion Picture Pat-	365 F.2d 965 (D.C. Cir. 1966) 25:211
ents Co. v	Webb v. Erickson, 134 Ariz. 182, 655
University of Arizona Health Sciences	P.2d 6 (1982)
Center v. Superior Court, — Ariz. —, 667 P.2d 1294 (1983)	
	Wisconsin v. Milwaukee Braves, 31
University of Kansas, Brennan v 25:491	Wis. 2d 699, 144 N.W.2d 1 (1966) 25:995 Witteman, De Kuyper v
University of Minnesota Hospitals, Walstad v	Witteman, Von Mumm v
Unvert v. Commissioner, 72 T.C. 807	Transming Total transmina
(1979), aff'd, 656 F.2d 483 (9th Cir.	_Y_
1981)	
Unvert v. Commissioner, 656 F.2d 483 (9th Cir. 1981)	Yoder, Wisconsin v
(9th Cir. 1981)	Yoder Co, Dorsey v
Upjohn Co. v. Schwartz, 246 F.2d 254 (2d Cir. 1957)	Yuma County, Massengill v 25:1081
(2d Cir. 1957)	
Ursini, United States v 25:815	—Z—
	Zenith Laboratories, Merrell-National
V	Laboratories v
Valenzuela-Barnal, United States v., 25:192	Zerilli v. Smith, 656 F.2d 705 (1981) 25:815
Van Vactor v. Blue Cross Assoc 25:975	Zuckerman v. Transamerica Ins. Co 25:975
Virginia, Richmond News Papers, Inc.	
v	

TABLE OF STATUTES

Constitutions, statutes, rules and regulations discussed in text are indexed here. The numeral preceding the colon refers to the appropriate volume; the numeral following the colon refers to the page on which the Article, Note, or Casenote discussing the particular provision begins. Citations are to the code in force at the time of the publication of the relevant article, as amended, unless otherwise indicated.

UNITED STATES


Constitution	
U.S. Const. Amend. I	25-123 25-177
U.S. Const. Amend. VI	
U.S. Const. Amend. XI	
U.S. Const. Amend. XIV	
U.S. Collst. Allielld. Atv	beditty beditte
United States Code	
15 U.S.C. § 1	25:347, 25:995
15 U.S.C. § 2	25:995
15 U.S.C. §§ 1051-1127	25:601
15 U.S.C. § 1114(1)	25:601
15 U.S.C. § 1116	
15 U.S.C. § 1125(a)	25:601
16 U.S.C. § 668	
16 U.S.C. § 1531	25:429
18 U.S.C. § 242	25:151
18 U.S.C. § 371	25:202
18 U.S.C. § 924(c)	25:202
18 U.S.C. § 1161	25:1059
18 U.S.C. § 1955	25:202
18 U.S.C. § 2113(d)	25:202
21 U.S.C. §§ 846-848	
29 U.S.C. § 794	
35 U.S.C. § 271	
42 U.S.C. § 402	
42 U.S.C. §§ 1381-1383	
42 U.S.C. § 1382	
42 U.S.C. § 1396	
42 U.S.C. § 1983	25:151
42 U.S.C. § 1996	25:429
Internal Revenue Code of 1954 and Treasury Regulations	
I.R.C. § 111	25.220
I.R.C. § 162(a)(1)	
Treas. Reg. § 1.162(7),(a).	
I.R.C. § 274(b)	
I.R.C. § 678	
LR.C. § 1348	
I.R.C. § 2001	
I.R.C. § 2010	
I.R.C. § 2032	
I.R.C. § 2041	
I.R.C. § 2042	
I.R.C. § 2044	25:671
I.R.C. § 2056	
I.R.C. § 2207A	25:671
I.R.C. § 2502	25:671
I.R.C. § 2503	25:671
I.R.C. § 2512	
I.R.C. § 2518	
I.R.C. § 2611	

VOLUME INDEX 1113 United States Statutes at Large Federal Rules of Evidence ARIZONA Constitution Arizona Revised Statutes Annotated 8-201(11) (Supp. 1983) 25:561 8-236(A) (Supp. 1983) 25:561 8-538 (1970) 25:561 8-546(A)(4) (Supp. 1983) 25:561 12-901 to -914 25:735 12-1111 25:689 13-708 25:202 13-1004 (Supp. 1983) 25:1047 13-1641 25:202 13-1902 25:202 36-2901 to -2913 25:939 44-1201(A) 25:515 45-473 25:655 45-541 to -544 25:655 Arizona Rules of Civil Procedure Rule 60(c) 25:747 Arizona Rules of Criminal Procedures Arizona Rules of Evidence Rule 407 25:395 Phoenix, Arizona, City Code Tempe, Arizona, City Code

Tucson, Arizona, Code § 20-223	25:1023
OTHER	
STATE STATUTES	
Alabama ALA CODE § 12-21-142	25:815
California Cal. Evid. Code § 1070(a) Cal. Gov*t. Code § 68926. Cal. Gov*t. Code 68927 Cal. Veh. Code § 22658 Cal. Veh. Code § 22851 Cal. Veh. Code § 22852	
Fiorida FLA. STAT. ANN. § 713.78 FLA. STAT. ANN. § 715.07	
III. ANN. STAT., ch. 51, § 117 ILL. ANN. STAT., ch. 82, § 47a	
Indiana 470 Ind. Admin. Code § 9-3-4 Ind. Code Ann. § 34-3-5-1	
Kansas Kan. Stat. Ann. § 82a-716	25:473
Kentucky KY. Const. § 5. KY. Rev. Stat. § 158.650 to730.	
Massachusetts Mass. Ann. Laws ch. 191A 1890 Mass. Acts ch. 384	
New Jersey N.J. Stat. Ann. § 2A:84A-21.	25:815
New Mexico N.M. Stat. Ann. § 20-1-12.1A	25:815
New York N.Y. Civ. Rights Law § 79-h(b) N.Y. Exec. Law § 828.	
North Carolina N.C. GEN. STAT. § 115C-548 to -550	25:123
Pennsylvania Pa. Stat. Ann. tit. 75, § 3353	
UNIFORM LAWS	

Uniform Probate Code	
§ 2-801	25:671
Uniform Products Liability Act	
§ 104	25:395





ARIZONA LAW REVIEW

VOLUME 25 1983-84



Published by

The College of Law of

The University of Arizona

COPYRIGHT © 1983

By the Arizona Board of Regents
(ISSN 0004-153X)

COPYRIGHT © 1983

By the Arizona Board of Regents

Number 1, 1983

Number 2, 1983

Number 3, 1983

Number 4, 1983

Printed in

Lincoln, Nebraska, U.S.A.

The ARIZONA LAW REVIEW acknowledges the kindness of West Publishing Co. in furnishing complimentary copies of National Reporter System advance sheets.

The Arizona Law Review welcomes manuscripts on law and related disciplines. Manuscripts should be triple-spaced with wide margins on 8½ x 11 paper; the text and footnotes should be typed separately. Citations should conform to A Uniform System of Citation (13th ed. 1981), available at bookstores handling legal materials.

The Arizona Law Review is published four times each year by the University of Arizona, Tucson, Arizona 85721. The Review is a student-edited journal, and the views expressed herein are not necessarily those of the Faculty of the College of Law, the University of Arizona, or the Arizona Board of Regents.

Subscription price: Domestic, \$10.00 per year. Foreign, \$12.00 per year. Single issues are normally \$4.00 but symposia and other special issues may cost more. If subscription is to be discontinued at expiration, notice to that effect must be sent. Otherwise it will be assumed a continuation is desired. For single issues and bound or unbound volumes in Volumes 1 through 23, inquire of Fred B. Rothman & Company, 10368 W. Centennial Road, Littleton, Colorado 80123. Issues from Volume 24 are available from the Review.

Member, National Conference of Law Reviews.

Copyright © 1983 by the Arizona Board of Regents

The University of Arizona is an EEO/AA employer and does not discriminate on the basis of sex, race, religion, color, national origin, age, Vietnam Era Veterans' status, or handicapping condition in its admissions, employment, or educational programs or activities. Inquiries may be referred to Dr. Celestino Fernandez, Assistant Executive Vice President, Administration 503, 602/621-3081.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Volume 25

	Page
A TRIBUTE TO STUDENT-EDITED LAW	1
Reviews	1
Articles	
CLASS ACTIONS: THE RIGHT TO OPT OUT	3
TOWARD AN INFORMED DISCUSSION OF INFORMED CONSENT: A REVIEW AND CRITIQUE OF THE	
EMPIRICAL STUDIES	
THE CONFIDENCE GAME: AN APPROACH TO THE LAW ABOUT TRADE SECRETS	347
PRODUCT SIMULATION AND CONTRIBUTORY TRADEMARK INFRINGEMENT: A RIGHT SUGGESTS A WRONG	601
THE TRANSPORTATION PROVISIONS OF ARIZONA'S 1980 GROUNDWATER MANAGEMENT ACT: A PROPOSED DEFINITION OF COMPENSABLE INJURY	
THE REPORTER'S PRIVILEGE: AN ANALYSIS OF THE COMMON LAW, BRANZBURG V. HAYES, AND RECENT STATUTORY DEVELOPMENTS	815
WHAT WE KNOW, WHAT WE THINK WE KNOW, AND WHAT WE DON'T KNOW ABOUT WOMEN LAW PROFESSORS	
I.R.C. Section 274(b) and Duberstein Resurface: The Emperor Still Has No Clothes	907
DISCRETIONARY TRUSTS: AN ESTATE PLAN TO SUPPLEMENT PUBLIC ASSISTANCE FOR DISABLED PERSONS	y 939

Essays

SUPREME COURT RHETORIC	85
Whose Wealth is it Anyway? Impediments to the Realization of an Owner's Plan of Disposition	671
Preserving Separation of Powers: A Rejection of Judicial Legislation Through the Fundamental Rights Doctrine	805
Notes	
STATE REGULATION OF PRIVATE RELIGIOUS SCHOOLS AND THE STATE'S INTEREST IN EDUCATION	123
SECTION 1983—A CHANGE IN THE MEANING OF "UNDER COLOR OF LAW": POLK COUNTY V. DODSON	151
THE WARNING CLAIM IN AN ARIZONA PRODUCTS LIABILITY ACTION	395
I.R.C. Section 162(a)(1) and the Professional Corporation: Compensation Versus Dividends	411
THE AMERICAN INDIAN RELIGIOUS FREEDOM ACT	429
THE RIGHT TO USE GROUNDWATER IN ARIZONA AFTER CHINO VALLEY II AND CHERRY V. STEINER	473
ACCESS TO CATV MEETS THE TAKING CLAUSE: THE PER SE TAKINGS RULE OF LORETTO V. TELEPROMPTER MANHATTAN CATV CORP Steven N. Berger	689
DEFECTIVE NEWBORNS AND SECTION 504 OF THE REHABILITATION ACT: LEGISLATION BY ADMINISTRATIVE FLAT?	709
GROUP INSURANCE BROCHURES AND CERTIFICATES AND THE DEMISE OF THE MASTER POLICY	
ANTITRUST ANALYSIS IN PROFESSIONAL SPORTS MANAGEMENT CASES: THE PUBLIC CRIES "FOUL!"	995

	ATELY OWNED PARKING AREAS IN ARIZONA	
	Need Better Protection from Unauthorized Vehicles: A Proposal to Amend the	
	STATUTORY GARAGEMEN'S LIEN Eric J. Olsson	1022
,	STATUTORY GARAGEMEN'S LIEN	1023
	Recent Decisions	
	Volume 25, Number 1	
I.	CONSTITUTIONAL LAW	
	TAHTINEN V. SUPERIOR COURT: ARIZONA'S CIVIL COURTS REMAIN CLOSED TO THE INDIGENT	
II.	Tahtinen v. Superior CourtDana Mark Campbell CRIMINAL PROCEDURE	177
	A. COMPULSORY PROCESS AND THE SCOPE OF THE	
	GOVERNMENT'S DUTY TO AID IN THE AVAILABILITY OF DEFENSE WITNESSES	
	State v. Stewart	192
	State v. Rumsey	202
III.	DEFAMATION	202
	THE SUBJECTIVE DOUBT REQUIREMENT FOR RECKLESS	
	DISREGARD: MISAPPLICATION OF THE ACTUAL MALICE	
	STANDARD IN HANSEN V. STOLL	
	Hansel v. Stoll Janet L. Calkins	211
IV.	Indian Law	
	TRIBAL TAXATION OF MINERAL RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT: AN	
	ANALYSIS OF MERRION V. JICARILLA APACHE TRIBE	
	Merrion v. Jicarilla Apache Tribe	220
V.	TAX	
	UNVERT AND THE ERRONEOUS DEDUCTION EXCEPTION TO THE	
	TAX BENEFIT RULE	
	Unvert v. Commissioner Thomas R. Lofy	230
VI.		
	A. Limitations on the Invitee's Preferred Status: An	
	ANALYSIS OF NICOLETTI V. WESTCOR	
	Nicoletti v. Westcor	244
	B. Scope of Employment: An Employer's Liability to	
	Persons Injured by Employees Who Are Renumerated)
	FOR TRAVEL	
	Robarge v. Bechtal Power Corp Leesa E. Berens	254
	Volume 25, Number 2	
I.	CONSTITUTIONAL LAW	
-	RONWIN V. SHAPIRO: ESTABLISH JURISDICTION BEFORE THE ELEVENTH AMENDMENT DEFENSE	
	Ronwin v. Shapiro Heidi Rib Brenji	401
	ALGORITHM TO ASSESSED TO A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	701

II.	Cor	UNSEL MISCONDUCT	
		UNSEL MISCONDUCT IN ARIZONA: A NEW FACTOR TO	
		CONSIDER ON APPELLATE REVIEW	
		Grant v. Arizona Public Service Co Peter Akmajian	505
III.		EDITORS REMEDIES	
	APF	LYING A CHANGE IN THE STATUTORY RATE OF INTEREST TO	
		PREEXISTING JUDGMENTS IN ARIZONA	
	_	McBride v. Superior Court	515
V.		MINAL PROCEDURE	
	A.	PROPRIETY OF INQUIRIES INTO THE NUMERICAL DIVISION	
		OF A JURY	505
	D	State v. Roberts	525
	В.	"Prospective Search Warrants" in Arizona: The Reasonableness of a Search Warrant Based on	
		EVIDENCE OF A PROBABLE FUTURE CRIME	
		State v. BergeJohn R. Hannah	530
V.	E	MILY LAW	337
٧.		THE CONSTITUTIONALITY OF INTERVIEWING CHILDREN	
	n.	PRIVATELY IN CHAMBERS: MUST PARENTS BE AFFORDED	
		AN OPPORTUNITY TO CROSS-EXAMINE?	
		In re Appeal in Maricopa County Juvenile Action	
		No. JD-561Laura Brynwood-Kitchen	551
	B.	STANDARD OF PROOF IN CASES OF DEPENDENCY AND	
		TERMINATION OF PARENTAL RIGHTS IN ARIZONA	
		In re Appeal in Pima County Juvenile Action	
		No. S-191 Sharmila R. Mahajan	561
VI.		RTS	
	A.	THE APPORTIONMENT OF PUNITIVE DAMAGES AMONG	
		JOINT TORTFEASORS	
		Rubi v. Transamerica Title & Insurance	
	-	CoTom Christensen	579
	В.	FERNANDEZ V. ROMO: HAS INTERSPOUSAL TORT IMMUNITY	
		BEEN ABOLISHED IN ARIZONA?	601
		Fernandez v. Romo	591
		Volume 25, Number 3	
I.		MINISTRATIVE LAW AND PROCEDURE	
	Sc	OPE OF THE JURISDICTION EXCEPTION TO THE DOCTRINE OF	
		EXHAUSTION IN ARIZONA'S ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW ACT:	
		A.R.S. SECTION 12-902(B)	
		State ex rel. Dandoy v. City of	725
77	C	Phoenix	133
II.	-	VIL PROCEDURE	
	EX	TRAORDINARY CIRCUMSTANCES JUSTIFYING RELIEF FROM	
		JUDGMENT UNDER RULE 60(C)(6) Webb v. Erickson	747
		THE SPECIOF	141

III.	EMINENT DOMAIN	
	EMINENT DOMAIN: ADMISSIBILITY OF PLANNED USES FOR CONDEMNATION VALUATION	
	City of Scottsdale v. Church of the	
	Holy Cross LutheranKurt Wiese	761
IV.		
	MEDICAL DEPENDENCY IN ARIZONA: THE "KNOWN MEDICAL DANGER" STANDARD OF IN RE COCHISE COUNTY JUVENILE ACTION No. 5666-J In Re Cochise County Juvenile Action	7.00
37	No. 5666-J	709
٧.	A. PROTECTING THE MORTGAGEE'S RIGHT TO ENFORCE A TIME-IS-OF-THE-ESSENCE PROVISION: AN ANALYSIS OF FIRST FEDERAL SAVINGS AND LOAN ASSOCIATION OF PHOENIX V. RAM	
	First Federal Savings and Loan Assoc. of Phoenix	
	v. Ram	780
	Center Corp Thomas R. Lofy	792
	Volume 25, Number 4	
I.	CRIMINAL PROCEDURE	
	FACILITATION: SHOULD IT BE REGARDED AS A LESSER INCLUDED SUBSTANTIVE OFFENSE WHEN PROSECUTION IS	
	BASED ON THE DEFENDANT'S COMPLICITY? E. Hardy Smith	1047
II.		
	CONFUSION IN THE LAND OF INDIAN SOVEREIGNTY: THE SUPREME COURT TAKES A DETOUR Anne M. Ryan	1059
III.		
	ONE MORE MOUTH TO FEED: A LOOK AT PHYSICIANS' LIABILITY FOR THE NEGLIGENT PERFORMANCE OF STERLIZATION OPERATIONS Sandra Gooding Sylvia	1069
IV.		
	GOVERNMENTAL TORT IMMUNITY REVISITED: RYAN V. STATE Lucile D. Sherman	1081

ARIZONA LAW REVIEW

EDITORIAL BOARD 1982-83

Editor-in-Chief SCOTT M. FINICAL

Managing Editor
GAIL L. DANIEL

Managing Editor
JOHN C. RAMBOW

STEPHEN M. BRESSLER

Executive Editors
W. GARY HULL

ELLEN K. WHEELER

Articles Editor
WILBUR M. ROADHOUSE

Articles Editor
JESSE B. SIMPSON

DENISE M. BAINTON JAMES S. BURLING Note & Comment Editors
ERIC HENDERSON
K. W. KROESE

MELODY R. SCHOOF MARY JEAN WARDELL

Topics Editor
DENNIS R. WEYRAUCH

Third Year Writer
ELLEN M.W. SEWELL

Third Year Writer CARRIE P. WITHEY

PETER AKMAJIAN LEESA E. BERENS STEVEN N. BERGER MARY BERKHEISER HEIDI RIB BRENT JANET L. CALKINS DANA MARK CAMPBELL JOY HERR-CARDILLO TOM CHRISTENSEN Second Year Writers
DAVID K. GRAY
JOHN R. HANNAH
RUSSELL E. HUGHES
PHILIP KIMBLE
LAURA BRYNWOODKITCHEN
NANCY K. LANEY
THOMAS R. LOFY
SHARMILA R. MAHAJAN

PHILLIP R. MALONE
ERIC J. OLSSON
ANNE RYAN
ANDREW DARU SCHORR
LEWIS DARU SCHORR
BRUCE LEE SKOLNIK
BRIAN NEIL SPECTOR
KURT WIESE

Faculty Advisor
THORNTON E. ROBISON

Secretary
JOAN BARROWMAN

ARIZONA LAW REVIEW

EDITORIAL BOARD 1983-84

Editor-in-Chief Mary E. Berkheiser

Managing Editor
DANA MARK CAMPBELL

Managing Editor
JOY E. HERR-CARDILLO

Executive Editors

DAVID K. GRAY JOHN R. HANNAH THOMAS R. LOFY BRIAN NEIL SPECTOR

Articles Editor
STEVEN N. BERGER

Articles Editor
ANNE M. RYAN

PETER AKMAJIAN
JANET L. CALKINS

Associate Editors
PHILIP KIMBLE

ERIC OLSSON
BRUCE LEE SKOLNIK

Topics Editor
SHARMILA R. MAHAJAN

NANCY K. LANEY

Third Year Writers
PHILLIP R. MALONE

KURT WIESE

WILLIAM ARCHER
DIANE DRAIN BATES
WILLIAM F. BEGLEY
RONALD G. COMPTON
THOMAS J. DENNIS
GLENDA C. ELLIS
JULIE FERDON
EUGENE GOLDSMITH
ELLEN HARRIS HOFF

Second Year Writers
CATHY M. HOLT
MARK ALDEN JAMES
J. MICHAEL JIMMERSON
LORI J. LEFFERTS
ANGELA A. LISTON
NOREEN ROBINSON MATTS
BRENDA M. MOODY
SARA E. MORRISSEY

MICHAEL NEVELS
ROGER W. PERRY, JR.
LEONCE A. RICHARD
YVETTE D. ROBICHAUD
LUCILE D. SHERMAN
WILLIAM J. SIMS
E. HARDY SMITH
SANDRA GOODING SYLVIA
KIM E. WILLIAMSON

Publications Committee
Dan B. Dobbs, Chairman

WILLIAM E. BOYD

DAVID B. WEXLER

Secretary
JOAN BARROWMAN



